Application No.	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comments	Printed on:	04/07/2016	09:05:08	
Application No:	Consumes Name:	Consultees Addr:	Receiveu:	Comment:	Response:			
2016/2958/P	cynthia rand	2 langland gardens	01/07/2016 10:47:59	OBJ	Retrospective permission should be denied due to the fact that the developer/owner route for planning permission as indicated in previous planning applications and has action with the hopes of the works being permitted. Of particular offence is the top would allow overlooking into neighbour houses and gardens, especially given the faremoved large trees to the rear of the subject property. Will this lead to possible intocreate a separate flat? Has this development gotten any previous enforcement notice nearby neighbours have been aware and cautioned the local authority as to the illegathe subject property. If there has been a previous enforcement notice, then the retroshould be denied as per LBC planning policy ensuring effective enforcement. I wor involvement of the local neighbours and conservation societies to meet with the development guttority to find a suitable compromise of any alterations, if possible. If the happen, then the retroactive permission should be denied.	ons and has taken a course of e is the top floor terrace which given the fact of the already possible internal changes to ement notices? It seems the to the illegal works done on en the retroactive permit ment. I would encourage with the developer and the		