Hazelton, Laura

Subject: Attachments: FW: Rochester Square - Application Re 2016/2808/P 2016 06 29 RochesterSquare_Caravans.pdf

From: Clive Bennett [mailto: Sent: 29 June 2016 12:53 To: Hazelton, Laura Subject: Rochester Square - Application Re 2016/2808/P

Dear Laura.

We appreciate the owner's need/wish to protect his property from re-occupation after the squatters have been evicted and support him in his aim.

It is unfortunate that on the plans of his proposal the accommodation units are not drawn to scale; they seem to be only 'footprints' of the proposed locations. This makes it impossible to evaluate the impact of them on the privacy and light to our house; clearly the outlook from our living room and bed room will be compromised enormously.

Regarding the primary locations, the owner has already been in touch with you asking you to place an imposition that prevents the units in the proposed primary location being sited any closer than 10 meters to the north western boundary of the property; i.e.the boundary fronting numbers 36 - 29 Rochester Square (email to you of 21.06.2016). We support this, reluctantly accepting that to protect the Square the outlook from our windows will be severely compromised.

Regarding the secondary locations, we are very concerned that the 'footprints' are too imprecise for us to know whether or not we will be adversely affected without a similar constraint being imposed. I attach a copy of the submitted proposal with our preferred positions (in cerise) of the accommodation units, drawn to scale. You will see that we are asking for the the more northerly unit to be sited as he indicates, approx 7.5 meters from the boundary with the road facing Numbers 24/25 Rochester Square, and approx 10 meters from the boundary fronting Nos.30/34 Rochester Square; the distance between the two units is the same as on his plan.

This will ensure that the light and privacy of our property will not be affected every day for the duration of the consent - this would be the case were the Units to be any closer to the boundary with the road fronting Inwood Court - and that the impact on our outlook would be reduced.

We hope you will take this into consideration when deciding the merits of this planning proposal. If planning consent is given we also urge you to require another application for any proposed extension of the time period.

Many thanks,

Clive Bennett and Mike Lackersteen

35 Rochester Square

London NW1 9RZ

