

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/6455/P	Thomas Muirhead	4E RowleyWay NW80SF	28/06/2016 08:50:27	COMMNT	I sent in a letter yesterday, 27.06.2016, with detailed comments regarding the insufficient documentation on sunlight and overshadowing. I hope it appears soon on the website. So far it hasn't although others have.
2015/6455/P	Elizabeth knowles	13 (b) Rowley way	27/06/2016 10:22:39	COMMNT	I object strongly to the above proposal. I do not think I have ever seen such a poor architectural plan for such a potentially wonderful sight. It is just a big red block - you would be better of stacking a load of containers one on top of the other. For heritage sake scrap this and go back to the drawing board.get some decent architects and do something creative instead of this horrendous suggestion.
2015/6455/P	Katherine Corden	7a Ainsworth Way nw8 0sr nw8 0sr nw8 0sr	27/06/2016 09:07:34	OBJ	This development is unsuitably large for the site. There is not enough affordable housing and the affordable housing being proposed is shared ownership, which is not appropriate (or affordable). If the ground floor is leased to a supermarket, this will cause additional traffic problems due to deliveries, on this already highly congested route. I oppose this development in its current form
2015/6455/P	Leila Hudson	24B Lymington Road West Hampstead NW6 1HY London	27/06/2016 17:36:40	OBJ	I am totally disgusted at Camden Council's apparent disregard for their people that they apparently work on behalf of. Most of West Hampstead are fighting against this and we are being ignored. The proposals are against all policies that Camden have put in place, for a reason, but A2 do not care, and neither does Camden by the looks of it. The buildings are in no way aligned to West Hampstead theme and are far too high in building size. Not to mention the entire build damaging the West End Green Conservation area. With West Hampstead Sq there will be an injection of almost 1000 additional residents with absolutely no increase in infrastructure. The roads and pavements will be dangerously over crowded and we can hold Camden Council responsible if there are any accidents. A2 have played down facts - in one of their statements they are quoted in saying that "the majority of windows that back out onto the proposed development (Lymington Road) are non main dwelling windows. This is a blatant lie. The majority of windows are main dwelling windows that will have a huge loss of light if this building development goes ahead. They need to listen to us. 156 is not needed. What WH needs is a medical centre, a creche. Why not leave Travis Perkins there?! This is all about money and nothing to do with representing your people.