

From:
49 Goldhurst Terrace
South Hampstead
London NW6 3HB

Ms Tessa Craig
Supporting Communities Directorate
Camden Regeneration & Planning
Town Hall, London, WC1H 9JE

Dear Ms Craig,

Thank you for sharing Camden consultation letter dated 20 June 2016 regarding 45 Goldhurst Terrace Planning Application 2026/2958/P.

I was expecting a similar letter about 100 Avenue Road NW3 development which is extremely important for this community.

The developers at 45 Goldhurst Terrace are pain in the eyes of the residents due to the continued dust at both front and rear and dispute of complaints to Camden not action has been taken and residents miseries are multiplying. In addition they blocking road and taking residents spaces without any consideration of residents.

The rear development in the property will cause flood of rain damaging underground rainwater pipes and system.

They have a narrow rear access space and must not be allowed rear access to upper floor and similarly erecting or use of terrace or balcony as at present misusing the 47 rear access and need their own narrow independent rear access. The rear access at 47 have only access permission for 49 in its covenant deeds to be shared.

I believe they are speculating to developing the end bit of the house as a residential unit and again the issue of flooding and other conservation elements must be taken care of including rear roof dormers, terrace and enlarged side elevation dormer.

As far the Revised Application for 100 Avenue Road NW3 is concerned, I urge Camden Council being a Champion in pioneering unexpected to expect and realise it, to refuse the application and through compulsory acquisition law acquire 100 Avenue Road for Community, environment, landscape and as a new Park, as in this part of South Hampstead Swiss Cottage we have no proper size park which is desperately needed for the community needs and this opportunity can be termed as blessing in disguise to be made realised. Once the 100 Avenue Road is acquired then I propose for developing a park to be developed.

From Hampstead Theatre to opposite traffic light to the Pub be included in the new Park development including the present road. The present Avenue Road traffic and road should permanently blocked and used as land for this park to become part of this development scheme.


Only the Finchley Road traffic will continue and a new round about should be developed at the junction of Adelaide Road, Hillgrove Road and Finchley Road.

The Pub, Library, Sport Centre, Community Centre and the Theatre will make it as the best development unique spot in Camden Council.

Yours sincerely,

Dr MAZ AFRIDI

Sent from my iPhone



24 July 2016

Dear Ms Zenab Haji-Ismail,

Further to my message dated 6 June 2016, (please see below), I am writing to add a comment to my objection to Essential Living's request to vary condition 31.

Please note:


Because it is not yet known when, or even if the 100 Avenue Road development can go ahead as planned, Camden Council must conclude that a demolition site for an indeterminate period, with unknown outcome, (while awaiting approval of foundation plans), would, by their own definition, cause 'harm' to the community and amenity and so be considered a 'major' material alteration to the original plan and not a 'minor' one. No new condition to ensure that the approved building is immediately erected following demolition can change this.

Permission to vary condition 31 must therefore be refused.

Sincerely yours
Lorella Terzi

126 Fellows Road
London
NW3 3JH

Sent from my iPad



Lorella Terzi

126 Fellows Road
London NW 3JH

London, 6 June 2016

Ms Zenab Haji-Ismail,

Regeneration and Planning
Development Management
London Borough of Camden

CC: Planning@camden.gov.uk

Dear Ms Zenab Haji-Ismail,

Thank you for forwarding the Public Consultation on App/2016/2803/P, 100 Avenue Road, London.

As a local resident, I am writing to express my strong objection to the early demolition of the existing building at 100 Avenue Road, before full detailed plans for the foundation works have been approved by TFL and Camden Council.

Because it is not yet known when, or even if the 100 Avenue Road development can go ahead as planned, Camden Council must conclude that a demolition site for an indeterminate period, with an unknown outcome - whilst awaiting approval of foundation plans - would, by Camden's own definition, cause 'harm' to the community and amenity, and in any case be considered a 'major-material alteration' to the original plan, and not a 'minor' one.

Permission to vary condition 31 must therefore be refused.

I would be most grateful if you could include my objection, as expressed above, in the documentation concerning this Planning Application.

I truly hope that Camden Council will listen to my concerns and would like to thank you in advance for your consideration.

I look forward to hearing from you

Sincerely Yours
Lorella Terzi