

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/2808/P	lisa Jacobs	31 rochester Square	23/06/2016 17:01:32	AMEND	I support this application and believe a permanent presence will be required to prevent the site from continuing to attract squatters and being a source of much blight. We have suffered with constant noise from the site for the last few years and I prefer that the units are located farther away in the "primary" rather than the "secondary" location, which is directly in front of my house. The applicant and I have spoken about my concerns, and agreed they can be addressed by the secondary location only being used for short periods of time (eg. 10 business days at a stretch) when the primary location cannot be used because work is being undertaken there. I understand the applicant will contact planning officers to confirm their willingness to abide by this restriction and note my support is conditional on adoption of this approach.
2016/2808/P	steven Mackintosh	31 rochester square	23/06/2016 17:05:10	AMEND	
2016/2808/P	Blythe Mackintosh	31 rochester Square	23/06/2016 17:03:22	AMEND	