

## Gentet, Matthias

---

**From:** Smithson, Annette  
**Sent:** 17 June 2016 07:43  
**To:** Planning  
**Subject:** FW: 13-15 John's Mews Planning Application 2014/3330/P  
**Attachments:** 15 June 2016 Objection Final .docx

FYI

Regards

Annette Smithson  
CSO  
Corporate Services  
London Borough of Camden

Telephone: 020 7974 1630  
Web: [camden.gov.uk](http://camden.gov.uk)  
1st Floor  
3-5 Cressy Road  
London NW3 2ND

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

---

**From:** Olad, Awale (Councillor)  
**Sent:** 16 June 2016 21:09  
**To:** Planning and Public protection  
**Subject:** 13-15 John's Mews Planning Application 2014/3330/P

The previous application is still outstanding. The development control committee previously asked for the contamination issue to be addressed and NOT for the application to go through a second round of consultation. This is an absurd situation and one that leaves me particularly angry and despairing.

Why on earth did the previous contamination report go missing? Why did a number of documents disappear and then reappear again recently on the website with altered dates and times? How can this new round of consultation be justified after the long, protracted, and shambolic first round?

The fundamental problem with this proposed development is the potential harm it is likely to bring to neighbouring properties as well as St George the Martyr Primary School, where I am a governor. Given the number of different chemicals in the soil and the likelihood that any structural change to the building will lead to its collapse it should augur negatively to planning officers, especially given that this property has not been touched since it was built over 130 years and for good reasons it seems.

I am particularly concerned that the dangerous, cancerous chemical benzopyrene, a carcinogen, had been found in the soil. I was astounded to find out that the contamination officer at the council did not feel it was a cause for concern. If this chemical is released into the atmosphere it is likely to put thousands of local people and workers at extreme risk.

This application, from the time it was submitted a couple of years ago, has been handled in a shambolic way and could, if approved, become a serious hazard to our locality. This application should be rejected outright and should not be entertained by the development control committee.

Councillor Awale Olad