					Printed on: 1//06/2016 09:
Application No:	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/2803/P	Peter Symonds	48 Canfield Gardens	16/06/2016 09:10:34	COMMNT	Having written to object to this application on behalf of the members of The Combined Residents' Associations of South Hampstead, I now object again on my own behalf, since I have yet to see that original objection listed on the Camden Planning website.
		London			
		NW6 3EB			By no stretch of the imagination can the change of condition 31 requested by Essential Living be deemed a 'minor material amendment' when the consequences of its approval are likely to be the devastation of the green space at Swiss Cottage for months if not years to come, and a danger to local residents of an increase in the pollution levels from the Swiss Cottage gyratory which are currently, to some degree, ameliorated by the barrier provide by the existing building.
					I remain unconvinced that Essential Living can be relied upon to ensure that the site is not left vacant for a prolonged period after permission is granted to demolish the existing building. The only real safeguard for the site is to ensure, as the Planning Inspector's condition stated after the appeal, that no demolition above or below ground can commence before full details of the foundation works have been submitted by Essential Living, agreed by TfL and approved by Camden.
					Any decision which allows Essential Living to demolish before that condition is fulfilled would be undemocratic, a dereliction of duty on the part of Camden, and against the will of the many thousands of local residents who objected to this proposal from the very outset.
					I repeat my earlier objection on behalf of CRASH and ask you to refuse this application. I shall forward a hard copy in letter form of this objection since it is clear not all objections are being posted on the Camden website .