

Gentet, Matthias

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 06 June 2016 08:33
To: Haji-Ismail, Zenab; Planning
Subject: 100 Avenue Road - KF

Dear Zenab,

Re 100 Avenue Road and so-called Essential Living

We dont know at present when or if this development idea will go ahead in the end. This means that Swiss Cottage may become a demolition site for who knows how long?

This would do untold damage to the community, which at present benefits from the green space.

Mothers and children particularly, for whom Regents Park is too far to go easily with prams, babies, small children and all their requirements..

Essential Living - so-called - ! must be out of its mind!

A **minor** alteration to the original plan it is not!! But a major one and hugely destructive. .

Please, oh please refuse permission to vary condition 31.

Yours sincerely,

Karin Fernald

2 Daleham Mews NW35DB



Virus-free. www.avast.com

Gentet, Matthias

From: Haji-Ismail, Zenab
Sent: 06 June 2016 08:46
To: Planning
Subject: FW: 100Avenue Road - RLVDP

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Zenab Haji-Ismail
Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 3270



You can [sign up](#) to our new and improved planning e-alerts to let you know about new planning applications, decisions and appeals.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 05 June 2016 10:35
To: Haji-Ismail, Zenab
Subject: 100Avenue Road

I wish to add my support to the objection voiced by Peter Symonds, Chair of CRASH, to the early demolition plan regarding this outrageous project at Swiss Cottage which should never have been allowed to proceed in the first place.

Revd. Lyndon van der Pump, NW6 3EB

Gentet, Matthias

From: Haji-Ismail, Zenab
Sent: 06 June 2016 08:38
To: Planning
Subject: FW: Objection to 100 Avenuen Road development - CT

Zenab Haji-Ismail
Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 3270



You can [sign up](#) to our new and improved planning e-alerts to let you know about new planning applications, decisions and appeals.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 05 June 2016 22:53
To: Haji-Ismail, Zenab
Subject: Objection to 100 Avenuen Road development

Dear Sir,

Plans to develop that area of Avenue Road should be shelved. It's unknown when they will start and having a large unsightly demolition site will cause harm to the community and the local area. Plenty of people have objected to this pdevelopment and it's pretty horrible that the council keep trying to push this through. Permission for this should be refused. yours faithfully, C Tohill

Gentet, Matthias

From: Haji-Ismail, Zenab
Sent: 06 June 2016 08:44
To: Planning
Subject: FW: Re App/2016/2803/P – 100 Avenue Road London NW3 3HF - SH

Zenab Haji-Ismail
Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 3270



You can [sign up](#) to our new and improved planning e-alerts to let you know about new planning applications, decisions and appeals.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 05 June 2016 16:04
To: Haji-Ismail, Zenab
Subject: Re App/2016/2803/P – 100 Avenue Road London NW3 3HF

Because it is not yet known when, or even if the 100 Avenue road development can go ahead as planned, Camden Council must conclude that a demolition site for an indeterminate period, with an unknown outcome - whilst awaiting approval of foundation plans - would, by Camden's own definition, cause 'harm' to the community and amenity and in any case be considered a 'major'-material alteration to the original plan and not a 'minor' one.

Permission to vary condition 31 must therefore be refused.

Please protect us from the possibility of a very long wait with a demolition site and no protection from traffic fumes,

Regards,

**SUSAN HADIDA
67A, GREENCROFT GARDENS
LONDON, NW6 3LJ**

Gentet, Matthias

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 06 June 2016 06:06
To: Haji-Ismail, Zenab; Planning
Subject: Re App/2016/2803/P – 100 Avenue Road London NW3 3HF - DNM

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Zenab Haji-Ismail
Regeneration and Planning, Development Management,
London Borough of Camden, Town Hall, Judd Street, London, WC1H 9JE.

Dear Zenab

I strongly object to the demolition and to the building plans proposed to 100 Avenue Road.

Because it is not yet known when, or even if the 100 Avenue road development can go ahead as planned, Camden Council must conclude that a demolition site for an indeterminate period, with an unknown outcome (whilst awaiting approval of foundation plans) would, by their own definition, cause 'harm' to the community and amenity and in any case be considered a 'major'-material alteration to the original plan and not a 'minor' one. Therefore permission to vary condition 31 must be refused.

Kind regards

Dr Nadia Matthews

Gentet, Matthias

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 06 June 2016 08:15
To: Haji-Ismail, Zenab
Cc: Planning
Subject: Re App/2016/2803/P – 100 Avenue Road London NW3 3HF - JG

Dear Zenab Haji-Ismail

Like many local residents, I'd like to thank you and Camden Council for turning down Essential Living's application [2016/2048/P] to vary condition 31.

I can't believe I'm having to write to you again about this proposed development, but I learn that the developer is yet again trying to wriggle out of obligations clearly undertaken during the long and torturous months and years since they first applied for planning permission.

I'm no planner, but even I can see that responding to the previous rejection through a "minor"-material amendment (under Section 73) instead of a "non"-material is simply terminological game-playing on the part of the developer, who seems determined to stop at nothing to show disregard for the people of the area and their elected representatives.

You said it in Decision Notice [04/05/16]: a demolition site for an indeterminate period, with an unknown outcome - whilst awaiting approval of foundation plans - would "result in the risk of significant harm to visual amenity and the amenities of neighbouring occupiers".

Permission to vary condition 31 must therefore be refused.

With best wishes

Judith Gubbay
20A Crossfield Road

Gentet, Matthias

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 06 June 2016 08:15
To: Haji-Ismail, Zenab
Cc: Planning
Subject: Re App/2016/2803/P – 100 Avenue Road London NW3 3HF - JG

Dear Zenab Haji-Ismail

Like many local residents, I'd like to thank you and Camden Council for turning down Essential Living's application [2016/2048/P] to vary condition 31.

I can't believe I'm having to write to you again about this proposed development, but I learn that the developer is yet again trying to wriggle out of obligations clearly undertaken during the long and torturous months and years since they first applied for planning permission.

I'm no planner, but even I can see that responding to the previous rejection through a "minor"-material amendment (under Section 73) instead of a "non"-material is simply terminological game-playing on the part of the developer, who seems determined to stop at nothing to show disregard for the people of the area and their elected representatives.

You said it in Decision Notice [04/05/16]: a demolition site for an indeterminate period, with an unknown outcome - whilst awaiting approval of foundation plans - would "result in the risk of significant harm to visual amenity and the amenities of neighbouring occupiers".

Permission to vary condition 31 must therefore be refused.

With best wishes

Judith Gubbay
20A Crossfield Road