Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: Response:	15/06/2016	09:05:07	
2016/2181/P	Geoffrey Farrow and Sarah Morris	Raphael 28 Hatton Garden London EC1N 8DA	14/06/2016 12:35:13	COMMNT	We write as owners of 28 and 28A Hatton Garden. We have no objection in principle to the extension of Minerva House but request that undertaking a site visit, the officer dealing with this application should contact us and view our rear roof area at first floor and Minerva House from our property. We should point out that the boundary between 28 and 30 is not necessarily the straig the block plans submitted. Ownership of the rear boundary walls needs to be establish this. Also, the first floor rear building belonging to 28 is not shown on any of the plan. The pre-application notes comment that Minerva House is taller by two storeys than it should be noted that the storey heights of Minerva House are somewhat higher than the height difference is therefore emphasised. Although 28 and 28A are not in residential use we are concerned that there should be amenity and this can only be assessed by visiting 28 and gaining access to the rear. Item 3.2 of the planning statement says:-' the lightwells that provide separation betwee properties at 25a and 28 Hatton Garden will be retained'. Depending upon how lighty this statement could be considered misleading. The application also advises that the new fourth floor will be of lightweight construct elevation is shown facing 28 - again, there is not necessarily any objection to this, it is future potential development of 28 and construction methods will have to be considered. We would just reiterate no objection in principle and our request for the planning off the application documents to clear up any inaccuracies.	d arrange access to ght line shown on shed to confirm ans submitted. its neighbours but an those of no.28, e no loss of een neighbouring wells are defined etion but a brick may be better for ered post planning.		