

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/2808/P	lisa jacobs	31	11/06/2016 10:54:24	COMMNT	I am satisfied with the principle of the application for 2 temporary units. However I strongly oppose the placing of them in front of my house. This includes the row of houses numbered 29-36. This is due to the fact that we have suffered with constant noise from the site for the last few years. Our terrace of houses is much closer in proximity to the site than any other houses in the square. I therefore think it would be more suitable to place the units in front of the gates on either side. Here there is a wider road between the site boundary and the homes.
2016/2808/P	martha mackintosh	31	11/06/2016 10:57:54	OBJEMAIL	
2016/2808/P	Tom Gentleman	32B Rochester Square London NW1 9RZ	11/06/2016 12:17:26	SUPC	<p>I live in a flat directly overlooking the gardens at 1 Rochester Square</p> <p>I have two comments about this application. The first is about the location of the proposed residential units, and the second about the time for which they are to remain on site. Subject to those comments, I support the application.</p> <p>The documents supporting the application show two alternative sites for the proposed units - a "Primary Location" and a "Secondary Location".</p> <p>The Primary Location is a much better option, since it would involve the caravans being located on a narrow area of the Square currently used for parking etc, and next to a more busy road, so it would not have such a serious impact on the appearance of the Square.</p> <p>If the caravans are placed at the Secondary Location, they will fill up / disrupt the only substantial open space in the Square, i.e. the large area to the NW of the greenhouses. I understand that the gardens in the Square are meant as far as possible to be retained as an open space / garden; and siting the caravans in the Secondary Location would interfere with that objective.</p> <p>The other comment relates to the period for which the units are to remain on the site. The "Planning Application Consultation" letter sent to neighbours on 3 June 2016 states that the installation is to be for a period of only 12 months (which seems reasonable), whereas the Planning Application Form seeks permission to retain the units on site for a much longer period (up to three years) - which seems much too long. Retaining the units there for 3 years would seem to be contrary to the London Squares Act.</p> <p>Subject to the points above, I have no objection to the application. I recognise that there is a risk that the site will be occupied again by squatters without guardians living on site, and I am very glad that the applicants are working on a proposal to bring the property back into use.</p> <p>I am happy to attend the committee and speak if necessary.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/2808/P	steven mackintosh	31	11/06/2016 10:55:52	OBJEMAIL	I am satisfied with the principle of the application for 2 temporary units. However I strongly oppose the placing of them in front of my house. This includes the row of houses numbered 29-36. This is due to the fact that we have suffered with constant noise from the site for the last few years. Our terrace of houses is much closer in proximity to the site than any other houses in the square. I therefore think it would be more suitable to place the units in front of the gates on either side. Here there is a wider road between the site boundary and the homes.
2016/2808/P	blythe mackintosh	31	11/06/2016 10:56:38	OBJEMAIL	
2016/2808/P	steven mackintosh	31	11/06/2016 11:12:56	OBJEMAIL	I'm satisfied with the principal of the application for 2 temporary units. However, I strongly oppose the placing of them in front of my house, this includes the row of houses 29-36. This is because we have suffered constant noise from the site for the last few years. Our terrace of houses is much closer to the site than any other in the square. Therefore it would be more suitable to place the units in from too the gates on either side of the site. Here there is a wider road between the site and houses,