

**Subject:** Application ref. 2016/250//P--objections

Dear Ms Craig,

Thank you for your letter. I write from 148 Haverstock Hill, NW3 2AY, and should like to put on record my objection to the proposed scheme for partial demolition and extension, next door, at 150 Haverstock Hill. My reasons are as follows:

*Light and Access*

1. The new upper storey would project beyond the building line of 148 Haverstock Hill. The structure would loom unpleasantly when seen from front door of 148 (even if stucco coated).
2. It would cut light from the hallway of 148, which is lit by a skylight (attachment 1).  
It would also cut some light from the bathroom.
3. At the rear, the proposed structure would create a 'pocket' between itself and the inner north and inner east wall faces of 148, and over the hallway skylight. This

would make maintenance with positioning of scaffolding exceedingly difficult.

4. The loss of light would clearly have a depressing effect upon my neighbours uphill.

*Listed Status and Structure*

5. 148 Haverstock Hill is listed grade II (1378816). It was listed, presumably as seen from the outside, as of the early 19<sup>th</sup> century, though the original build is of 1761.

150 Haverstock Hill was built by the owner of 148. This was evidently at the same moment in the early 19<sup>th</sup> century: the water supply is shared, and under the Caprice sign can be seen a stucco wreath that matches those on 148 (attachment 2).

Perhaps 150 is also listed, or perhaps it should be?

6. I think a main purpose of listing is to preserve the quality of the street-scape?

This would be seriously affected by a structure that obscured the north side of 148 (attachment 3).

There are also evident problems with regard to abutting a new build on to a stucco surface, but I understand that this would be a party-wall matter, and not to be raised at this point?

Yours sincerely,  
Barbara Brend





