					Printed of	09/06/2016	09:05:08
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:		
2016/2605/P	Anja Petitto	Flat 3 5A Bernard Street	08/06/2016 16:44:20	OBJ	Dear Planning committee,		
					I would like to voice my concerns as a long term resident of this building. The BI distinct look to it, and the original features such as stairs to the basement are typic of the street. The proposed terrace will not only constrict the pavement area further station, but also create additional noise. If the plans are to be approved then strict set in terms of opening hours and how late the terrace can be occupied. What wou amenable solution would be a temporary seating area that is used like the Pret a M street, which has removable seating and barriers that are assembled and dismantle agreed timescales, thus minimising noise pollution in the evening and discouragin anti-social behaviour. Having frequented another branch of Tortilla in Oxford Cin type of temporary and removable outdoor seating area is possible. In addition a mether. The proposed change of door entrance will encourage loitering and crimina already on the increase, due to it being a dark and sheltered corridor if plans go al be fitted and maintained at their expense if this is to go ahead. My other area of c extraction fan location. Care and consideration should be used.	I and characteristic by an already bus uidelines must be d be perhaps a mor anger across the every day within g loitering and us, I know that this se reducing awning owed to smoke behaviour that is ead. A light should ncern is the	e y re g
					Anja Petitto		

					1 mited on. 09/00/2010 09.0
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/2605/P	H L AHIR	Flat 1 5A Bernard Street London	08/06/2016 22:15:49	OBJ	As resident of a flat immediately above the planned development at 5 Bernard Street, I have a number of concerns about the plans.
					1. A new raised terrace at the front of the as this is not in keeping with the current streetscape and will add to the feel of congestion so near to the station entrance.
					2. The current plan will also creates an passage which will attract rubbish and create a space for antisocial behaviour and the flats vulnerable to break-in. To mitigate this, the entrance to the flats should also be re-positioned to be in line with the shopfront so has not to create space for people to congregate.
					3. Equally, if there is to be a new raised terrace, this should be extended to also cover the the entrance to flats so that rubbish is not funneled into the narrow flat entrance.
					4. There is also issue of how will the terrace be managed after closing at night? Will it be gated as otherwise it will potentially encourage people to congregate there at night.
					5. How will the noise and smoke from the customers sitting outside be mitigated? Is the canopy of type and quality that it stops the noise and smoke from travelling directly up into the flats above and will it be always in position when the restaurant is open?
					6. Finally, I also agree with all the comments made by Marchmont Association with the application including no provision being made regarding extracting cooking fumes.