

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/2575/P	Hugh Lake	17 Camden Sq NW19UY NW19UY NW19UY	06/06/2016 17:38:27	OBJ	<p>FAO :Mr John Diver, Regeneration and Planning Development Management. London Borough of Camden Town Hall, Judd St. London WC1H 9JE</p> <p>COMMENT ON PLANNING APPLICATION 2016/2575/P – Dated 06/06/16</p> <p>9 CAMDEN MEWS</p> <p>The Camden Square Conservation Advisory Committee (CSqCAAC) considers that this application should be rejected on the following grounds.</p> <p>ASSOCIATION WITH APPLICATION 2016/1242/P</p> <p>It is impossible to judge this proposal without taking into account the change of use application GRANTED UNDER APPLICATION 2016/1242/P submitted 30 February 2016.</p> <p>If this current application is considered in conjunction with this change of use application, the submitted material is insufficient, as the plans don't show a proposed residential layout, nor are sections provided that show that the heights of the rooms and the sizes and materials of the windows would be adequate. It would also be important to show neighbouring buildings on the elevations.</p> <p>SPECIFICATION OF THE WINDOW GLASS</p> <p>The material of the glazing to the new rear windows is stated as 'opaque' on the elevation drawing, but as 'opaque/frosted glass' in the Materials Section of the application form. Even though preferable to clear glass, it should be noted that any form of obscured glass will let a certain amount of light through, which is problematic, especially at night time, as it causes light pollution for the neighbouring properties. Any approval should therefore be made sure that the opacity levels of the new windows at least match the existing windows.</p> <p>OPENABLE REAR WINDOWS</p> <p>It is proposed to change the rear windows from fixed glazing to horizontal sliding windows; even though opening restrictors are mentioned, it remains unclear to what degree the windows will be openable. Regardless of the proposed use of the ground floor (be it continued as office use or changed to residential use), the change from fixed to openable windows (albeit on restrictors) at the rear is in any case an infringement of the privacy of the neighbouring properties and are grounds for the application to be rejected.</p> <p>This application states no change of use, but should be read in conjunction with the change of use application from February. Even though a change from office to residential use is not necessarily problematic in itself, it is important to maintain the existing levels of privacy, which is not guaranteed with the proposed measures.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
					<p>CONCLUSION – REJECTION</p> <p>The application must be rejected and further clarification of the use of the building and the details of the window glass and opening demanded. Any revised application should be referred to the CSqCAAC for comments.</p> <p>H. B. Lake Secretary CSqCAAC 17 Camden Sq NW1 9UY Tel: 020 7267 5128 email: hughlake0@gmail.com</p>
2016/2575/P	Simon Burbidge	Flat B 160 Camden Road Camden Town London NW1 9HJ	05/06/2016 13:28:57	OBJ	<p>I am objecting to this plan. I am resident in the upper floor maisonette at 160 Camden Road. The proposal will greatly invade the privacy of the garden. The boundary of the property aligns exactly with the wall of the building and as such the windows are right on the boundary. Currently the windows are non-opening and opaque, as the space is used as a workshop. Replacing with windows that open will result in direct views into our garden and flat. It also will allow noise nuisance and pollution from the light when the property is occupied at night. There is also a greater security risk to our property as there will be direct access from the new windows.</p> <p>I ask you to reject this development.</p> <p>Sincerely, Simon Burbidge</p>