|                 |                  |   |                     |          | Printed on: 02/06/2016   | 09:05:07 |
|-----------------|------------------|---|---------------------|----------|--|----------|
| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Consultees Addr:                              | Received:           | Comment: | Response:  |          |
| 2016/1866/P     | Surekha Aggarwal | Flat 6<br>43 Eton Avenue<br>London<br>NW3 3EP | 01/06/2016 10:50:10 | OBJ      | I am writing to object to this planning application for the following reasons:  1. I believe it is out of character for the area which is a conservation area.  2. The strip of green which runs between Eton Avenue and Fellows Road is precious to wildlife in urban area and it adds to its unique character. It is specifically one of the reasons I bought my flat.  3. The proposed development is excessive and will set a precedent.  4. The building work will intrude on my enjoyment of my flat which backs onto the development directly. It will generate noise and dust which will impact my health as I have severe allergies and I suffer from migraines. My property has original sash windows which I am not allowed to change because of listing regulations, and which will not protect my flat from the noise or dust.  5. I have looked at the objections raised by Mr & Mrs Whitfield of 90a Fellows Rd and endorse all their points which appear well researched and reasonable.  6. Together with other developments which have been approved, like 100 Avenue Road, I fear that whole character of Belsize is going to be ruined.  Please inform me of the Committee meeting date. |          |

|                 | G L V            |                                       |                     |               | Printed on:  | 02/06/2016  | 09:05:07 |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------|--|---|----------|
| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Consultees Addr:                      | Received:           | Comment:      | Response:  |   |          |
| 2016/1866/P     | Elizabeth Moore  | 96a Fellows Road<br>London<br>NW3 3JG | 01/06/2016 10:36:50 | OBJLETTE<br>R | Elizabeth Moore 96a Fellows Road London NW3 3JG  |   |          |
|                 |                  |                                       |                     |               | 28th May 2016  |   |          |
|                 |                  |                                       |                     |               | Re: Planning Application 2016/1866/P<br>Concerning property: 86-88 Fellows Road,<br>London NW3 3JG   |   |          |
|                 |                  |                                       |                     |               | Dear Ms. Kristina Smith  |   |          |
|                 |                  |                                       |                     |               | I am the tenant of the rear ground floor studio flat at number 96 Fellows Road and we register my objections to the above planning application for a "part single part two-ste extension at lower and upper ground floor levels at 86-88 Fellows Road, NW3 3JG.  |   |          |
|                 |                  |                                       |                     |               | The two storey part of the projected extension across the rear of numbers 86-88 Fello protrude approximately ten feet beyond the existing extension outside the rear of numrise above and protrude approximately five feet beyond the extensions built onto numboth of which are only single storey extensions and thus unobtrusive and unobjection recently built second storey block built onto the back of number 100 Fellows Road exports than any of the original Northern walls of the houses in the block and so remain invisible.) | aber 90 and would<br>bers 94 and 98,<br>able. (The<br>attends less to the | i        |
|                 |                  |                                       |                     |               | The projected single and two storey extension block at the rear of numbers 86-88 Fell extend approximately twenty feet out across the garden northwards; the entire project extend across one third of the length of the garden, thus blocking a large section of th length of the gardens from east to west, currently enjoyed by the residents of the hous and Fellows Road, this vista being a crucial and much valued aspect of these beautiful gardens.   | ed building would<br>e view along the<br>les in Eton Avenu                |          |
|                 |                  |                                       |                     |               | I hope and expect that many of the residents will express, like me, a powerful objection in the second of course additionally bring months of noise, pollution, heavy lorries and ear upsetting the peace and quiet of these gardens and disrupting the wildlife and fragile exist in the gardens and trees. However the permanent construction of a high protrudiction would block light and affect the continuity of view and planned space of these garden more upsetting and disturbing development.                                   | th movers etc<br>ecosystems that<br>ng building which                     |          |
|                 |                  |                                       |                     |               | Additionally, in relation to policy BE19 from the Belsize conservation area statement proposed development most certainly does not enhance the conservation area; it does existing features such as building lines and reoflines, nor the architectural profiling or   | not respect   |          |

existing features such as building lines and rooflines, nor the architectural profiling of adjoining

| Application No: | <b>Consultees Name:</b> | Consultees Addr: | Received: | Comment: | Response:   |
|-----------------|-------------------------|------------------|-----------|----------|---|
|                 |                         |                  |           |          | buildings.  |
|                 |                         |                  |           |          | In relation to policy BE22 from the Belsize conservation area statement, this proposed extension would alter the balance and harmony of a group of properties in Fellows Road by imposing insensitive scale and design.   |
|                 |                         |                  |           |          | The proposed rear extension would be extremely obtrusive and would adversely affect the character of adjacent buildings by virtue of it"s being partially two storey"s high despite the recommendations expressed in policy BE22.   |
|                 |                         |                  |           |          | Further in relation to policy BE23 of the above conservation statement, this proposed extension would be completely out of harmony with the original form, character and pattern of extensions within the group of buildings along the North side of Fellows Road from number 100 to numbers 86-88. |
|                 |                         |                  |           |          | In conclusion, it seems to me that the purpose of the Belsize conservation area statement is to prevent precisely these sorts of opportunistic and thoughtless applications and hopefully to resist other attempts to build over these valuable and valued gardens in future.                       |
|                 |                         |                  |           |          | My objections to the application are therefore accompanied by my earnest hope that it will be rejected.   |
|                 |                         |                  |           |          | Yours sincerely   |
|                 |                         |                  |           |          | Elizabeth Moore   |

Printed on: 02/06/2016

09:05:07