<b>Delegated Report</b>			Expiry Date:	17/07/2015	Officer:	David	l Peres Da Costa
Application Address			Application Number(s)	1 <sup>st</sup> Signatur	e 2 <sup>nd</sup> S		gnature
148 Camden Street London NW1 9PA			2015/2906/P				
Proposal(s) Lower ground f floor to non-self			(retrospective) and odation.	conversion of	f rooms at	ground	and lower ground
Recommendation(s): Refuse			planning permission				
Application Ty	/pe:	Full plai	nning permission				
Consultations	Date ad	vertised	21 days elapsed		Date posted		21 days elapsed
Press notice	25/6/15		16/7/15	Site notice	19/6/15		10/7/15
	Date sent		21 days elapsed # Notified		# Responses		# Objections
Adjoining Occupier letters	16/5/15		7/7/15	11	1		0
Consultation responses (including CAACs):	1 Letter of support Having lived previously on the ground floor of the building (and a current resident of the top floor), I would like to state that the proposed application shows an innovative use of the available space in the building and would provide much needed affordable accommodation in the local area. The proposed accommodation would indeed provide good living space for a single person or couple and compliments the larger apartments already in the building. I therefore support the application as an existing resident of the building.						
Site Description				5		5	
			e property (with ba ithin the Jeffrey's S				
<u>Taken</u> 06/03/20 arrangement an <b>EN14/0717</b> : Th	ower grou 015 Reasond the lac nd the lac andard ma	on for refu <i>k of suffic</i> orised ere aisonette.	rear extension. <u>Ref</u> usal: <i>The extension</i> <i>cient floorspace for</i> ection of a two store Enforcement Notic de beforehand.	at basement a dwelling, cre ey rear extensi	level by re eates poor ion at grou	ason or quality ind and	f the internal accommodation basement level to
1. Either pe	ermanent	ly remove	e Notice taking effe the two storey extensions		e all result	ing deb	oris from the side

- and make good the rear elevation; or2. Combine the newly created floorspace within the ground and lower ground floor rear extension

into the existing ground floor unit to enlarge the existing self-contained residential unit in accordance with the layout shown on drawing 13-103-05 (as part of 2013/3562/P)

**2013/3462/P**: Erection of a rear ground floor extension with rooflights for the provision of an additional bedroom to form 1 x 2 Bed flat in connection with existing ground floor residential flat (Class C3). <u>Granted</u> 05/08/2013

**8700598**: Change of use and works of self-containment to provide 5 1-bedroom flats. <u>Granted</u> 01/10/1987

## **Relevant policies**

NPPF 2012 The London Plan March 2016

### LDF Core Strategy and Development Policies

CS1 Distribution of Growth CS5 Managing the Impact of Growth and Development CS6 Providing quality homes CS11 Promoting sustainable and efficient travel CS14 Promoting High Quality Places and Conserving Our Heritage CS19 Delivering and monitoring the Core Strategy DP9 Student housing, bedsits and other housing with shared facilities DP18 Parking standards and limiting the availability of car parking DP24 Securing High Quality Design DP25 Conserving Camden's heritage DP26 Managing the Impact of Development on Occupiers and Neighbours **Camden Planning Guidance** 

CPG1 Design CPG2 Housing CPG7 Transport CPG8 Planning Obligations

#### Assessment

**Proposal:** Planning permission is sought for a lower ground floor rear extension (retrospective) and conversion of rooms at ground and lower ground floor to non-self -contained accommodation.

## Background:

Planning permission was granted 05/08/2013 for the erection of a rear ground floor extension with rooflights for the provision of an additional bedroom to form 1 x 2 Bed flat in connection with existing ground floor residential flat (ref: 2013/3462/P). The approved plans show the '2<sup>nd</sup> bedroom' accessed from a corridor to the side of the existing bedroom, all within the self-contained ground floor flat.

The above planning permission has not been implemented according to the approved plans.

Planning permission was previously refused 06/03/2015 for retrospective consent for the lower ground floor extension as the unit created did not meet the minimum floorspace requirements (ref 2014/6189/P). A subsequent application was refused 01/05/2015 due to the loss of the existing self-contained flat at ground floor level (ref: 2015/1529/P).

The current proposal has been revised so that the ground floor flat would remain self-contained and the unit created at ground and lower ground floor would provide non-self-contained accommodation. The toilet would be removed from the lower ground floor bathroom. The existing ground floor flat (facing Camden Street) would be slightly reduced in size to allow the existing toilet to be non-self-contained. It is proposed to relocate the toilet in the self-contained ground floor flat to the existing bathroom.

The application has been previously assessed and the Council resolved to grant planning permission 30/09/2015 subject to a legal agreement (to secure the unit as car-free). However the legal agreement has not been signed so in the absence of the legal agreement the application should be refused. The full assessment is given below.

### Assessment:

### Conversion of rooms to non-self -contained accommodation:

The Council seeks a diverse range of housing, as set out in Core Strategy policy CS6, and will seek housing types suitable for different groups. Policy DP9 and CS6 acknowledges private rented homes, and particularly bedsit rooms that share communal facilities, are an important source of housing for young adults with a limited income. The Council's HMO standards for bedsits indicate the minimum floorspace for a room where kitchen (not enclosed) is included is 12sqm (single) or 14sqm (double). The proposed bedroom with kitchen included would provide 15sqm floorspace (discounting the area of the stairs leading to the lower ground floor). Therefore the floorspace would meet the minimum HMO floorspace requirement for a double room. The toilet would not be self-contained and would be accessed from the communal hallway. All other facilities would be exclusive for the occupants of the bedsit.

### Design:

The extension is built directly underneath the previously approved ground floor extension and so is tucked away. It would still allow a reasonable amount of external amenity space for the basement flat. The extension is finished in white render which is in keeping with the basement elevation and the neighbouring boundary wall. The white render has a sympathetic relationship with the side elevation

of the approved extension which adjoins it above. The extension does not harm the appearance of the host property or the conservation area.

# <u>Amenity</u>

The extension has a single window which is not shown on the submitted basement plan. This window directly faces the bathroom window of the existing basement flat. As the window in the extension faces a bathroom window with obscure glazing there would be no harmful overlooking or loss of privacy to the occupiers of the basement flat. Nevertheless, if permission were granted a condition would be included requiring the window in the extension to be obscure glazed and the lower part of the window to be fixed shut.

# <u>Transport</u>

The Council expects development in areas with high public transport accessibility to be car-free (Policy DP18). The PTAL of this site is excellent (6B) and so the bedsit should be secured car free via legal agreement.

Camden has been successfully securing car-free housing since 1997 as a way of encouraging car-free lifestyles, promoting sustainable ways of travelling, and helping to reduce the impact of traffic. Car-free development can facilitate sustainability and wider objectives, including:

- enabling additional development where parking provision would not be acceptable due to congestion problems and on-street parking stress;
- helping to promote alternative, more sustainable forms of transport

A legal agreement drafted by the applicant was submitted on19th August 2015. The Council's legal team has written to the applicant on 2nd November 2015, 30th November 2015 and 18th January 2016 in an attempt to reach agreement on the planning obligation and to negotiate an agreed text. However the applicant has been unwilling to engage with the Council in order to reach an agreement.

The applicant has been advised by email on a number of occasions that failure to sign the Council's s106 legal agreement would result in the application being refused.

It is noted an enforcement notice was served 30<sup>th</sup> March 2016 (see planning history above) and an informative would be included on the decision notice to remind the applicant what is required.

Recommendation: Refuse planning permission