

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/1814/P	AJW Gow	2 Priory Mansions England's Lane London NW3 4TH	16/05/2016 19:42:32	SUPC	<p>1. I write on behalf of my wife and son, as well as in my own right. We reside in the flat immediately above the premises subject to this application. Accepting that the premises have an A3 license, we broadly welcome this application, albeit with small reservations about the application, noted below.</p> <p>2. The applicant has shown great care and consideration in her approach, consulting with us personally and with Antrim and Priory Management, as well as with near neighbours at 2 England's Lane, Ginger and White. We welcome this approach and also that the plans reflect arrangements that follow the successful extraction model/arrangement installed at 2 England's Lane (Ginger and White), which has operated as a great success. The Ginger and White system is effective and not excessively noisy. On the basis that the system is the same, if there is to be an extraction system (as A3 requires), we welcome the installation of this extraction system and not some other system causing far greater nuisance. (I am happy, on request, to supply a copy of the full testimony sent supporting the effectiveness of Ginger and White's low-level system when permission for it was being challenged legally).</p> <p>3. While welcoming the planned extraction system, we would register some small reservations about the application otherwise and request that this be addressed.</p> <p>4. The acoustic report is fallacious, indicating that the window identified in its figure/photograph is the nearest noise sensitive facade, 10m away. Ours is the nearest noise sensitive facade, <1m or 0m away from the proposed systems. Given that we have no experience of the proposed air conditioning system (in contrast to the extraction system, which is the same as that used by Ginger and White), we would suggest that noise levels affecting the immediate vicinity, including our residence 0m away as a facade and approximately 2-3 upwards as windows, are checked.</p> <p>5. We have a reservation about the air conditioning system, for which the internal part has been embedded in the very deep (1 to 2m thick) ceiling between the commercial premises and our residence. We have a concern that this may, in time, cause noise and vibration affection our sitting room and bedrooms and kitchen. While noting this reservation and requesting that appropriate checks are made, and remedies applied if necessary, we also record that the applicant has kindly discussed this with us and briefly tried a limited test run, which only seemed to reveal some effect in the kitchen. However, we are conscious that a limited test does not fully cover the prospects of full use over time - hence the request for checks.</p> <p>6. We note that the main application indicates no known hours of proposed operation, but that the acoustic report indicates proposed hours of 1100-2200. We believe that hours of operation should be limited to 2100, as with Ginger and White (although Ginger and White does not normally operate after 1730, in practice). We would also note that by convention, businesses in the past have not operated after 1830-1900.</p> <p>5. We have a small reservation about the separate application regarding the fascia and illumination and a major complaint regarding the application procedure surrounding that separate application. The complaint is that we can only address this issue here because that application, notified to us by email at the same time as the present application, was granted already, it seems, the day after it was registered</p>

Application No: **Consultees Name:** **Consultees Addr:** **Received:** **Comment:** **Response:**

and notified, and we no longer seem able to register a comment regarding that application directly. The reservation we have concerns the brightness and direction of the lights used to illuminate the fascia. When tested recently, these partially floodlit our sitting room and my son's bedroom. We would request that conditions are placed on the use of these lights - which we have no objection, per se - viz, to be fixed in such a way and using bulbs of low enough capacity that they do not impair our amenity of being able to sit comfortably in our sitting room, or my son (age 10) to be able to go to bed and sleep without the glare of floodlighting.
