					1 miled 0ii. 15/05/2010 07
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2013/3383/P	Sasha Maisel	12 Southampton Road	12/05/2016 20:14:06	OBJ	- Several gardens in the road will be deprived from daylight and sunlight by the proposed development
					- The impact on the amount of sunlight on neighbouring properties.
					- Yet again, the layout drawing shown on the daylight and sunlight report is incorrectly shown.
					- The gap between 62B Grafton Terrace and 2 Southampton Road is shown larger than it is in reality (it is ~4m and it the planning response it mentions 5.9m) which will have affected the accuracy of the report.
					- The proposed development will plunge neighbouring gardens in total shade
					- The reduction in day and sunlight is contrary to current planning policies. reductions in the levels of daylight and sunlight to adjoining properties will cause harm to residential amenity.
					- Lord Southampton pub has recently opened a youth hostel converting the 3 upper levels into dormitories with \sim 40 beds thus considerably increasing the number of residences in the area.
					- This application has been withdrawn 4 times in the past and we assume the withdrawal was advised by the Camden planning team? we feel the planning documents do not receive enough scrutiny and past objections should be taken into account.

					Plinted on. 13/03/2016 09.0
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
Application No: 2013/3383/P	Consultees Name: Juliette Hughess	Consultees Addr: Ground Floor Flat 2 4 Southampton Road London NW54HX	Received: 12/05/2016 11:35:08		This seems to be a constant issue that is not treated with the care and consideration it deserves. For years, this building has been opposed by all homeowners locally affected by it. Camden Council seem to ignore local's unhappiness and rejection of this. They do not openly communicate or attempt to resolve it so it is dealt with once and for all. I live at the ground floor flat at 4 Southampton Road and living in a very small flat, i am lucky to enjoy lovely natural light in my bedroom and kitchen. Natural light is an important part of the enjoyment of a home as well as the health and wellbeing of people living there. To try and take this away is destructive both for the quality of live, the home's value and the health and happiness of those living there. The garden facing windows of my home will be deprived from daylight and sunlight by the proposed
					development
					With the development of this new property my home will no longer be able to enjoy the same levels of natural light - it will be significantly reduced.
					Yet again, the layout drawing shown on the daylight and sunlight report is incorrectly shown.
					The gap between 62B Grafton Terrace and 2 Southampton Road is shown larger than it is in reality (it is ~4m and it the planning response it mentions 5.9m) which will have affected the accuracy of the report.
					Lord Southampton pub has recently opened a youth hostel converting the 3 upper levels into dormitories with approx. 40 beds thus considerably increasing the number of residences, noise and disruption directly next door to my home.
					the new development will overlook my home therefore affecting my privacy (there are already some windows looking directly onto my bedroom and i do no wish for there to be more

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2013/3383/P	Sophie Angelson and James Kemp	4A Southampton Road	12/05/2016 23:32:00	OBJ	Dear Sir,
	and James Kemp	Basement Flat			My name is Sophie Angelson and my husband, James Kemp, and I live in 4A Southampton Road, London NW5 4HX, the garden flat at 4 Southampton Road, and the closest neighbour 62A Grafton Terrace. I write to you to object to the new application submitted for to the proposed 3 storey 2 bed dwelling at 62a Grafton Terrace. Here are my objections:
					1. Our garden and property will be deprived of daylight and sunlight by the proposed 3 storey building.
					2. As a basement flat, we are already at a lower elevation to the ground level of the proposed development, meaning that our windows will be affected even more by the height of the proposed building. We only have two windows at the rear of our property, one in our kitchen and one in our bedroom. As we are already the end of the terrace, we receive the least light of all the properties on the street, and this construction would completely obstruct the precious light we have. Light into our bedroom and kitchen are necessary for basic human well being. We object to having that taken away.
					3. On the daylight and sunlight report submitted, the layout drawing is incorrectly shown, so none of the figures can have been accurately calculated.
					4. The report incorrectly states how much light our garden receives. Our neighbour Xavier Hunter at No. 6 has submitted photographic evidence with his complaint (photographs included of our garden), which clearly shows our garden receives many hours of light throughout the day currently. But this would be entirely eradicated were this development to be green-lit.
					5. This proposed development would overlook our garden, with windows practically looking directly into our bedroom and essentially opening into our garden, eradicating the current privacy we have.
					6. Lord Southampton pub has recently opened a youth hostel converting the 3 upper levels into dormitories with ~40 beds thus considerably increasing the number of residences in the area.
					7. This application has been withdrawn 4 times in the past and we assume the withdrawal was advised by the Camden planning team? we feel the planning documents do not receive enough scrutiny and past objections should be taken into account.
					8. The loss of light and loss of privacy in our property would greatly reduce it's value and we can honestly say we would have personally reconsidered purchasing our flat ten years ago had this building existed at the time.
					For all the reasons above James Kemp and I object to the application proposed for 62A Grafton Terrace. We are disgusted that this application has been resubmitted yet again. How many times must we object? Any multi-level development will ruin our quality of life. This application should be put to bed once and for all! We trust that you will take our objections seriously and finally reject this

Printed on: 13/05/2016

09:05:07

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:	i inited on.	13/03/2010	09.00.07
					application.			
					All the best,			
					Sophie Angelson and James Kemp			