

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Consultees Addr: | Received: | Comment: | Response: |
|-----------------|------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|----------|--|
| 2013/3383/P | Xavier Hunter | 6 Southampton Road London NW5 4HX | 11/05/2016 23:27:10 | OBJ | <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Our garden and property at No 6 Southampton Road will be deprived from daylight and sunlight by the proposed development- The sunlight report provided states that according to the BRE guidelines our window (no 28) will not have sufficient sunlight if the proposed development will be built. We can not accept this after having enjoyed 16 years of natural light and sunshine through this window.- Yet again, the layout drawing shown on the daylight and sunlight report is incorrectly shown.- the gap between 62B Grafton Terrace and 2 Southampton Road is shown larger than it is in reality (it is ~4m and it the planning response it mentions 5.9m) which will have affected the accuracy of the report.- Yet again the report is incorrectly stating that our property receive less than 2 hours of sunlight in March. As the garden is on different levels, the back area has sunlight for considerably more than 2 hours.- the proposed development will plunge our garden in total shade affecting what garden and green space are made for, our health and well being as individuals and as a family with two children who enjoy relaxing and playing in the garden regularly.- the reduction in day and sunlight is contrary to current planning policies. reductions in the levels of daylight and sunlight to adjoining properties will cause harm to residential amenity.- Lord Southampton pub has recently opened a youth hostel converting the 3 upper levels into dormitories with ~40 beds thus considerably increasing the number of residences in the area.- the new development will overlook our property and garden and reduction in privacy will be unacceptable.- this application has been withdrawn 4 times in the past and we assume the withdrawal was advised by the Camden planning team? we feel the planning documents do not receive enough scrutiny and past objections should be taken into account. <p>I will follow this online objection with an email version to include images supporting our points.</p> |

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Consultees Addr: | Received: | Comment: | Response: |
|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------|--|
| 2013/3383/P | Michaela Goetz-Hunter | 6 Southampton Road | 11/05/2016 22:53:08 | OBJ | <ul style="list-style-type: none">- the proposed development will negatively affect daylight and sunlight to our garden and property at No 6 Southampton Road- in the sunlight report it states that according to the BRE guidelines our window (no 28) will not have sufficient sunlight if the proposed development will be built.this is not acceptable to us.- the layout drawing shown on the daylight and sunlight report is not shown correctly - the gap between 62B Grafton Terrace and 2 Southampton Road is shown larger than it is in reality (it is ~4m and it the planning response it mentions 5.9m) which will have affected the accuracy of the report.- the report also says that our property has less than 2 hours of sunlight in March. this is incorrect the garden is on different levels and the back area has sunlight for considerably more than 2 hours.- the new development will put our garden in total shade. this will affect our health and well being as a family with two children who play in the garden regularly.- the reduction in day and sunlight is contrary to current planning policies. reductions in the levels of daylight and sunlight to adjoining properties will cause harm to residential amenity.- I understand that the pub has opened a youth hostel at the upper levels with ~40 beds. this means the area is overcrowded as it is and any additional residential units will put more strain on the area.- the new development will overlook our property and garden and reduction in privacy will be unacceptable.- this application has been withdrawn 4 times in the past and we assume the withdrawal was advised by the camden planning team? we feel the planning documents do not receive enough scrutiny and past objections should be taken into account. <p>we will send this objection also by email and include images supporting our points.</p> |
