

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Consultees Addr: | Received: | Comment: | Response: |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|---------------|--|
| 2015/3268/P | Diana Mavroleon | 19c Swain's Lane N66QX | 06/05/2016 01:35:37 | COMMEMP ER | <p>I strongly oppose Application 2015/3268/P for the following reasons. Both the Application description and document contents are inaccurate.</p> <p>Gail's has constantly mis-represented their business intentions to Camden, HLECAAC and local residents and from the earliest discussions carried out on site between us and Gail's management and development team.</p> <p>The current extract unit creates serious odor/air pollution that in time will effect the health of residents living in close proximity. The air is polluted by fatty substances caused by the cooking of hot, fried foods being prepared on site at Gail's from 7.30am to 7.30pm - seven days a week. Gail's have constantly been in denial of this practice, even though photographic images have clearly shown this to be the case. The extract unit is entirely unacceptable and I believe is contrary to Class A1 retail use.</p> <p>A serious threat we have is the possibility of Gail's making a further application to Camden for an A3 licence and thus being able to run as a fully fledged cafe. In advance, I would like to express my strongest objections to this possible development.</p> <p>What Gail's describes as an "existing outbuilding" is effectively a "new" building. This is divided into a "conservatory" with a glass-topped ceiling that creates serious light pollution to our bedrooms that are within but five feet of the glass ceiling. Noise pollution has long been an issue with Gail's, and yet no attempts have ever been made on their behalf to reduce the effects of crashing and banging from inside the building and emanating from a constantly open door from the "bakery" to the rear yard.</p> <p>The rear yard areas of Holly Lodge Terrace have, until now, been used by businesses as solid, covered over kitchen areas (Kalender cafe) and storage of fridges and food items (Tesco). The yards fall within a designated "Conservation" area. Why then should Gail's be enabled to operate a publicly used space here? This is setting a seriously threatening precedent of invasion of privacy to neighboring residents.</p> <p>The roof terraces overlooking the rear of house and yards are our only private spaces to sit out on and enjoy as recreational and quiet areas. Noise, light and air pollution already caused by Gail's has become intolerable. Our life quality and health are already being effected.</p> <p>I would like to support John Slater and Valery Doulton's objection for Gail's application to be refused and enforcement proceedings taken.</p> |