

**Gentet, Matthias**

---

**From:** Phillips, Kate  
**Sent:** 05 May 2016 17:31  
**To:** Planning  
**Subject:** FW: 2016/1480 relating to original Planning Application 2014/6672/P

Please log to 2016/1480/P

Thanks

Kate Phillips  
Planning Officer

Telephone: 0207 974 2521

-----Original Message-----

**From:** alan selwyn [mailto: ]  
**Sent:** 01 May 2016 19:48  
**To:** Phillips, Kate  
**Subject:** 2016/1480 relating to original Planning Application 2014/6672/P

Dear Kate Phillips,

I am a little confused about the final date for objections as it seems different depending on which website one looks at - camden seems to be 18.4.16, the change.org website says 6.5.16 comments.

I send this in case it can be considered.

The variation on the original approved plans is unacceptable:

1. The increased height is inappropriate to the neighbouring residential block by cutting randomly across the mansard roof.
2. The increased height and massing of the glass frontage is out of scale with the neighbouring shops. Furthermore it will be brightly lit long hours at night (as all Sainsbury supermarkets are) and present an ugly intrusion into our local strip which is quite low key.
3. the narrowing of the side entrance is dangerous. This is a very busy site with frequent pedestrian access to the tennis club (many children) and residential buildings (many old people and some disabled too) , a busy pedestrian crossing, a tube entrance.
4. Movement of commercial vehicles to a supermarket site (several large delivery lorries and waste collections each day) would present a great hazard. Vehicular access to the site would require complex manoeuvres - reversing etc and this would certainly cause accidents. (we have problems already with Budgens lorries blocking side roads) The side passage must be kept as wide as possible. Lorries exiting the site cannot readily swing left at right angles to drive south along Haverstock Hill- they would have to drive over the pavement and would be turning immediately onto a pedestrian crossing with an island and so would create great and hazardous problems.
5. A supermarket is inappropriate at this site for the reasons stated above. It is also not needed with several food outlets in the immediate vicinity.
6. The problem of street drinkers is increasing in the vicinity and a late night source of cheap alcohol would present an increasing problem.
7. Noise and pollution would increase as a result of increased lorry deliveries and waste collection, which are often late in the evening or early morning affecting neighbours adversely. These always use diesel vehicles, an increasing source of particulates and nitrogen oxides.
8. The building abuts two conservation areas and is unsympathetic and presents a loss of amenity
9. The building abuts a listed building and is out of scale and overbearing and so detracts from it.

Many thanks for your consideration,  
Alan Selwyn  
38 Howitt Rd NW3 4LJ

alan selwyn

