

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/1347/P	D Thompson	Flat 74  Chester Road  Chester Balmore London N19 5BZ	27/04/2016 10:06:41	COMMNT	Strongly object to this. It will be noisy and unsightly and right in the midst of this block of flats and will almost certainly conflict with the passivhaus certification.
2016/1347/P	Gregor Rapprich	Flat 2 17 Raydon Street	27/04/2016 18:42:58	OBJ	I object to this planning application for the following reasons:  1) The documents provided don't show any assessment of whether the installation of the air conditioning unit is compliant with passivhaus standards.  2) The reason given for the air con unit to be installed on the roof and not in the dedicated section in the refuse storage area is that it exceeds permissible noise levels on the ground. It is fair to assume that background noise levels on the ground are higher than the background noise levels on the roof as measured in the noise survey. If the AC unit breaches permissible noise levels with higher background noise on the ground, then surely it would breach permissible noise levels on the roof, negatively impacting the flats below.
2016/1347/P	William Lenton-Brook	81 Balmore Street	27/04/2016 15:44:57	COMMEMP ER	This is a Passivhaus development and installing an air conditioning unit on the roof completely goes against the spirit of building and environmentally friendly nature of the development that we invested in. Not to mention the unknown noise factors and disruption of our view.
2016/1347/P	Hanna jones	Flat 7 17 Raydon Street London N19 5BU	27/04/2016 20:31:37	OBJ	It looks to me that the methodology in the environmental survey, which concludes that the noise will be at reasonable limits, is flawed. The method used is that a measurement of the noise external to the buildings, i.e road traffic, has been taken and if the noise produced by the air conditioning unit is less than 5db different, the noise produced by the unit is deemed reasonable. However, the survey does not seem to address the difference between the background noise inside the Chester / Balmore buildings and whether the noise generated by the air conditioning unit would be more than 5db different from that (both with windows open and windows closed). Ultimately, I expect this is what most residents would be concerned about. I do not consider that the survey provides reliable evidence that the noise generated by the air conditioning unit will not be excessive.  I am concerned about the reasons why the air conditioning unit could not be contained within the surgery and that placing the unit on the roof will lead to excessive and unreasonable noise for Chester Balmore resident.
2016/1347/P	Patrice Bataille	57 Balmore Street London N19 5DA	27/04/2016 10:17:16	OBJ	The planning application is for non-residential use while which is not correct. The installation is within a residential block and this should be considered. For this reason I would like to object as the requirement for such as noise might have to be amended.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/1347/P	Jon Roberts	79 Balmore Street  London  N19 5DA	27/04/2016 10:11:25	OBJ	<p>The application is categorised in a misleading way. 68 Chester Road is within Raydon block in the Chester Balmore (CB) Development. CB is a residential development of 53 units.</p> <p>The planning type is given as commercial minor alteration. This is not appropriate because the unit is planned to be on the roof of the whole residential block, so affecting all residents, especially those nearest to it. Thus is neither minor nor commercial.</p>
2016/1347/P	David Rowley	Flat 1 17 Raydon Street	27/04/2016 11:54:12	OBJ	<p>Re: Planning application 2016/1347/P, Installation of A/C Units</p> <p>Having read the documents at some length, my concern is principally about noise levels. The noise survey, hiding under a lot of jargon and not very scientifically composed, makes specific reference to the site locations for the measurements, and these are completely irrelevant to the noise that would be experienced within the actual residences upon proposed installation of plant. So how can noise levels measured on the roof tell us what we might experience in the flat? Further, these measurements are also baseline, since they all precede the proposed installation of plant. How can that tell us how noisy it will be when plant is installed? They are worthless. Projected noise transmission into the apartments is also a pure estimate, and seems to have no basis in fact. Beside which, the appropriate noise levels 'as set by Camden' seem excessive. First, what is the legal basis for Camden being able to set its own 'noise level' standards? Answer, I can find no statutory basis for this. Second, the noise levels anticipated (estimated) exceed the EU recommended limits. I would have thought a noise consultant might have known about that. I think the conclusions and the proposed development are therefore flawed.</p> <p>Fundamentally, I think we simply want to ensure that we are not completely inconvenienced by excessive noise in our apartments. I don't think this has been correctly addressed, and I don't think the proposed installation is at all appropriate on the above basis. I therefore object to this planning application on the above grounds.</p> <p>Best, Dr David Rowley, Senior Lecturer, Chemistry, UCL (atmospheric science). Flat 1, 17 Raydon Street.</p>
2016/1347/P	Livia Catania	81 Balmore street	27/04/2016 14:59:15	COMNOT	<p>As a resident I am absolutely against the installation of a air conditioning equipment on top of what should be a passiv haus development. No residents have been informed and we are very concerned about noise levels which will prevent us from quiet enjoyment of our property.</p>