Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 25/04/2016 09:05:06 Response:
2016/0748/P	Mrs. Esther Wakely	The Old Boathouse Church Street Sutton Courtenay Abingdon Oxfordshire OX14 4NJ	22/04/2016 17:30:53		I am the owner of 40 Shoot Up Hill, the property to which 40a was once an extension. I object to this planning application on the following grounds;- !. The information given in this application contains deliberate errorsThe garden area is smaller than shown,the given plan extends to only half that length, the remainder is my property which wraps around that of 40a. The proposed design is particularly unsightly, being seen from the street, 4 flats at No. 40, fron Nos. 42, 38 an 36 which is sighted to the rear of No. 38. As this property is between 2 gardens the increase in height would significantly overshadow these gardens, being particularly detrimental to Flat 2 No. 40. whose rear aspect would become claustrophobic and it would be deprived of light. Becaue of its location this building cannot have any side fenestration as this would look directly into the adjoining gardens, which accounts for the peculiarity of the submitted design, which would create an unpleasant unit of accommodation. The application statement is not shown with this online plan, but for the erradication of doubt, I state that the driveway is my property. \$0A have the right to pass over it for access only. The small frontage owned by 40A is insufficient to park a car. Any windows at the front of the building would result in a loss of privacy to those in Flats 1, 2 and 4 No.40. The occupants of 40A have difficulty dealing with the refuse they produce and on numerous ocassions take advantage of neighbours facilities. If teh building is enlarged without additional provision for extrea waste, this problem would be exacerbated. The present owner has run a number of businesses from this property, at present a nursery, causing particulaly noise nuisance to neighbours. The present plan is similar to one previosly rejected by both your department and also at appeal. I strongly object to this application and hope that you see the damage that creating this awful building could cause and refuse planning committee I would like to be pr