

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/1480/P	Dr Simon Commander	26A Belsize Grove NW3 4TR NW3 4TR	14/04/2016 15:17:33	OBJ	<p>I am concerned about the proposed variation of planning application for 192 Haverstock Hill, London NW3 2AJ. The original proposal involved the construction of a large multi storey building on a relatively small and narrow site. The current attempt to change the design involves a thoroughly undesirable enlargement that will look unattractive and squeeze the available space even tighter. In particular, if the expansion is allowed, it will make access to the flats and tennis club that runs between the proposed building and the wall of Belsize Park tube very restricted and potentially dangerous. It is also a route used by many children to get access to the tennis club. Expanding the retail space further also raises the issue of how any retailer would manage getting in supplies, viz, parking and manoeuvrability.</p> <p>I strongly oppose the attempt to expand the footprint of the building. It will have undesirable and potentially dangerous consequences for neighbours and others using the access lane. It will also create a building that is over-dimensioned and unattractive</p>
2016/1480/P	Colin Hazell	Flat 10 Hepworth Court NW3 2BX NW3 2BX	13/04/2016 10:43:01	OBJ	<p>I am amazed that Camden council are considering this application on a number of counts I am sure there has been so much debate on basements being dug also the noise and upheaval to local residents also access has to be considered already Haverstock hill is highly congested without more delivery lorries blocking the street this has not been thought through for current residents and the environment we do not need another supermarket. Budgets have done a lot for the local community including including adding a Post office it seems to me that this is all about money not local people</p>
2016/1480/P	Tom Bilton	4 Christie Court Aspern Grove London NW3 2AB	14/04/2016 14:13:29	COMMNT	<p>I am mainly concerned about pedestrian access on the road between the site and the underground station. Presently this route is shared by motor vehicles and cyclists and pedestrians, including wheelchair users, older people, children, and people with disabilities. There is currently no protection for pedestrians on this route. It is too narrow for a pavement as well as a road, especially at the point of the front of the development. If the proposed development were narrower on the Haverstock Hill side, this would provide space to have a proper pavement as well as a road. Or, alternatively, if the route were pedestrianized, this would mean that the Tennis Club car park could not be used by cars and this space could be transformed into a playground and / or park. There is no reason why people should not travel by public transport when coming to play tennis. The proposed development would overshadow this route and it would not feel open, pleasant, or safe. This is an important route as it is used by many people coming to or from the underground and taking a short cut to or from Fleet Road area, Hampstead Heath and Gospel Oak. It is also used by local residents (like myself) to access their homes on the Russell Nurseries Estate. I realize that the development site is privately owned, and the developers are not responsible for the road / pedestrian access route I've described. However, if the Planning department can find any way of addressing my concerns about access and safety for vulnerable road users (pedestrians and cyclists), that would be really good. Thank you for taking the time to consider my comments.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/1480/P	Maxine	Flat 18 Pritchard Court 4 Aspern Grove NW3 2AF	14/04/2016 11:41:50	OBJNOT	<p>This proposed plan jeopardise the feel and the long standing atmosphere which has been carefully cultivated amongst the existing residents and businesses.</p> <p>As a Tennant of Aspern Grove and an integrated part of Belsize park, I have huge concerns with this structure.</p> <p>CONCERNING THE ENTRANCE TO ASPERN GROVE & TENNIS COURTS</p> <p>*Currently we have the car park for Globe Tennis courts next to the rear of Hepworth Court. This is in constant use and we suffer high congestion from vehicles which need to get to that establishment. It also take the traffic and Parking of Vehicles who need access to the Sensory Gardens and repairs vehicles for Belsize Park Tube Station. Having a Mini Supermarket will not help taking into account the access need on the side and the vehicle deliveries on Haverstock Hill which does cause traffic for everyone using the road.</p> <p>*The entrance to Aspern Grove/ Globe Tennis Courts are constantly used has inconvenient car parking for picks ups from the tube station and rushes to the shops. This would increase having any shop next to that entrance as people would be tempted to obstruct that entrance. This is not beneficial to the vehicles use that access point.</p> <p>*Due the nature of the that entrance, It provided access to the side of Aspern Grove and Belsize Woodland Walk used various pedestrians. Also a large percentage of the Globe Tennis Courts are children who can be excitable after a good work out. with our current situation we have has safety issues which have caused accidents. Add a building which will increase the obstruction of view is not a plan considering the wellbeing of people using the area.</p> <p>ENVIRONMENT AND USE OF THE BUILDING</p> <p>*the building is too high. If you want to build a sky scraper... please direct this venture to the city.</p> <p>*We have a lovely Communal Gardens which Maintained and cared for by a team of volunteers. This gets a little light in the day but another building will undo all the hard work our team has done to create a escapable haven for us residents.</p> <p>*A basement in the building is not needed and is a blatant attempt at claiming more space for a quick remuneration.</p> <p>*Aspern Grove houses a variety of people from young couples to families with a significant amount who are Elderly, Frail and disabled. The construction of a basement and the build would be a huge strain to everyone lives. the noise and mess would contribute to the escalation of health problems.</p> <p>*What is an attraction of Belsize Park and Haverstock Hill is the look and feel of the road and it's community around it. We have a perfect mix restaurants, shops and services that keep that feel. When Budgens forgot it's clientele. with the help of the community, it kicked in the shape and now provides</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
					<p>stock of every day groceries and unique local produce. They had a facelift and has now been an integral part of the community.</p> <p>*Before Franco Manca settled in, was ASK which refused to move to the needs of the residents and then after that was a Mexican who where not in keeping with what Belsize park was about. Franco Manca is now settled in. They have a cool edgy Vibe while sticking to the roots and rustic feel for the area. They made made sure that when moving in, that everything from inside and outside fit with the area and the residence.</p> <p>*Having a mini supermarket will open up opportunities for other supermarkets to come in and turn the road into a cheap high street.</p> <p>FINAL THOUGHTS This proposed building is in a prime spot and will contribute to the Belsize Park landscape. I do not want a monstrosity built so some property developer can make quick cash and then ruin what has been the work of decades of a community effort. If something is going there I believe an alternative building which takes into account the surroundings will be a better answer.</p>
2016/1480/P	Madeleine Kitchen	Flat 1 2 Belsize Park Gdns London NW3 4LD	14/04/2016 22:30:06	OBJEMPER	<p>I live and volunteer in Belsize Park. We do not need another supermarket, that's why the community forced Tesco to scrap their plans.</p> <p>We are in a conservation area and I'm worried about deliveries and the effect on the wildlife. Also what will happen to the passage, which I use for access to the Sensory Garden, Russell Nurseries and Belsize Wood. Can't we have a building that would serve the needs of the community and not be for the benefit of super market giants.</p>
2016/1480/P	Kelly Williams	Flat 1 18 Downside Crescent London NW3 2AP	13/04/2016 22:29:40	OBJ	<p>We do not want a Sainsbury's in our neighbourhood. We do not want the noise of delivery trucks or an overdeveloped site. We do not want a large chain supermarket in our community. The original building was small and unimposing. This proposal does not suit our local area.</p>
2016/1480/P	J. Willis	27 Ainger Road	13/04/2016 16:06:43	COMMEMP ER	<p>I believe this development is far too large for the position. The access road to the tennis club and flats is narrow and already a problem with normal vehicles and pedestrians vying for entry. This should be an access way for the community, many of whom are elderly and disabled, and for families... not for delivery vans and additional traffic. The level of noise both at the building stage and following completion will be detrimental to surrounding residents</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/1480/P	Renata Korpak	44a Lambolle Road London NW3 4HR	14/04/2016 22:40:07	COMNOT	<p>I wish to request that the Council considers again the entire application - not just the current Section 73 Application. The circumstances have changed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - new developers - new architects - it was never suggested by the then applicants when applying for planning permission that it would be a site for a major Supermarket chain - with all the consequences. <p>The Directors of the applicants, Sasha Traders, are not the 2 applicants who make the original planning applications two years ago; Mr and Mrs T Bolot.</p> <p>The current 5 Directors of Sasha Traders currently registered at Companies House took over Sasha Traders on 29 January 2016, acquired the property and hired new architects.</p> <p>They have devised a new scheme under the guise of a Section 73 application. As it is, it increases the height by 1.2 metres and width by 0.7m.</p> <p>It was never envisaged that this small site would be for a major supermarket. The space is far too small for a supermarket; there is nowhere for lorries to load or unload; there will be further major traffic congestion in Haverstock Hill from delivery lorries and car-bound shoppers, and there is a severe risk to pedestrians in the narrow, pavement-less side road.</p> <p>Finally, I should stress that I welcome further competition - but in my judgement this tiny site isn't suitable for a Sainsbury's.</p>
2016/1480/P	Jane Roberts	199 Haverstock Hill	14/04/2016 23:22:37	COMMEMP ER	<p>Please do not allow yet another supermarket to open a chain. Belsize Park is like a village with independent food shops that will be killed off. Please do not make the street look like any other high street. The roads are too narrow to take yet more huge delivery lorries. It will cause terrible traffic congestion. We already have other supermarkets nearby, including Tesco's (in England's Lane and Hampstead), Budgen (opposite the Belsize tube and in Swiss Cottage), Marks & Spencer in South End Green and Swiss Cottage. There's already a Sainsbury's in Chalk Farm, not to mention Lidl and other supermarkets in Camden. We need to let more independent shops have a chance to survive. Please stop Sainsbury's destroying Belsize Park.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/1480/P	Zarela Feeney	26a Belsize Grove London NW3 4TR	14/04/2016 16:21:46	COMMNT	<p>I am concerned about the proposed variation of planning application for 192 Haverstock Hill, London NW3 2AJ. The original proposal involved the construction of a large multi storey building on a relatively small and narrow site. The current attempt to change the design involves a thoroughly undesirable enlargement that will look unattractive and squeeze the available space even tighter. In particular, if the expansion is allowed, it will make access to the flats and tennis club that runs between the proposed building and the wall of Belsize Park tube very restricted and potentially dangerous. It is also a route used by many children to get access to the tennis club. Expanding the retail space further also raises the issue of how any retailer would manage getting in supplies, viz, parking and manoeuvrability.</p> <p>I strongly oppose the attempt to expand the footprint of the building. It will have undesirable and potentially dangerous consequences for neighbours and others using the access lane. It will also create a building that is over-dimensioned and unattractive</p>
2016/1480/P	Anna Lee	5 Lyndhurst Gardens NW3 5NS	14/04/2016 14:33:47	COMMNT	<p>I strongly object to this planning application. My concern is about the safety of 150 schoolchildren who frequent the Globe LTC for tennis playing and coaching. With the traffic of various commercial and building vehicles, the passage way from Haverstock Hill to the Globe LTC and Russell Nursery Estate will become a perilous deathtrap.</p> <p>As had been agreed and accepted with the previous application to build on this site, all works had to be carried out from the front of the building, e.g. Haverstock Hill main entrance, as the alleyway is too narrow to access for any kind of lorries etc.etc.</p> <p>This alley way is in constant use not only by members of the Globe LTC, but the Russell Nursery Estate, people living in Gospel Oak and others. Its a short cut to the Royal Free Hospital. Further consideration has to be given to the access of people in wheelchairs, mothers with prams, Fire Engines and above all ambulances.</p> <p>To give permission to build a 5 storey building on such a small plot, with the excavation of the basement, is environmentally and socially not acceptable. To sum up my concern about this project -</p> <p>a) safety of children and pedestrians in general b) to ensure all building work to be carried out - not through the alley - but from the front facade of the building, facing the main road.</p> <p>Hoping you will be taking your time and serious consideration on the negative effects this project will have on the inhabitants and the environment. Many thanks for your considerations, with kind regards, Anna Lee, Globe LTC, President</p>
2016/1480/P	Kathleen Gordon	2 Hepworth Court 56 Aspern Grove London NW3 2BX	13/04/2016 15:43:27	COMMNT	<p>The development of a new Sainsbury's on the corner of Haverstock Hill & side turning would be chaotic. Large delivery lorries in the early hours of the morning. The shop's late opening hours - street drinkers buying alcohol to drink outside. Lots of pedestrians use the side turning mothers with children, people going to work via the tube station. At the moment cars from the tennis court users. All this will cause more pollution. If fire engines have to attend this small space on the corner - it is totally unrealistic. I am 68, disabled, walk with stick & live in adjacent block to the very small space on the corner of Haverstock Hill.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/1480/P	Colin Hazell	Flat 10 Hepworth Court NW3 2BX NW3 2BX	13/04/2016 10:43:52	OBJ	I am amazed that Camden council are considering this application on a number of counts I am sure there has been so much debate on basements being dug also the noise and upheaval to local residents also access has to be considered already Haverstock hill is highly congested without more delivery lorries blocking the street this has not been thought through for current residents and the environment we do not need another supermarket. Budgets have done a lot for the local community including including adding a Post office it seems to me that this is all about money not local people
2016/1480/P	Nicholas Lee	34A Rosslyn Hill Nw3 1NH Nw3 1NH	13/04/2016 11:24:53	OBJ	<p>I would like to object to the above application for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. By proposing to increase the width of the building, and reduce the width of the access road, this proposal is clearly ignoring the intention of the original application, to which this was a major concern. 2. Diagram 2.3 of the planning statement - Access and refuse does not work, there is not enough space to turn a commercial vehicle as the drawing indicates, and with parked cars, even less, and therefore the scheme fails to provide proper access. Vehicles will have to reverse from the side road past the pavement and onto Haverstock Hill. If this is acceptable to highways, I would be very surprised. Also please note that the Globe LTC have a barrier that is frequently closed during the night and not opened until morning. with this barrier down, no commercial vehicle will have access to the car park, therefore no early morning deliveries would be possible. 3. By increasing the ground floor onto the boundary by approx. 1.2m, and reducing the side road, pedestrian safety has been compromised. If one would visit this junction at rush hour times, you would note what a busy cut through this side road serves for members of the public to access Belsize Park tube station and the Royal Free Hospital. A reduction in size of this access and increase in commercial vehicles will create a potentially dangerous junction for the general public, in particular older residents, children, the disabled. 4. One can clearly see that the proposed area for refuse/access at the rear of the development is by no means big enough to handle the capacity of rubbish, storage, deliveries required to accommodate 5 residential flats and 1 supermarket. It does not take a lot of foresight see that the reality of this will be an environmental failure with rubbish, rodents, rats etc as a result. <p>I do not object to the development but have serious concerns about vehicle access, public risk, and environmental failure.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/1480/P	Robert Deane	1 Hill Court 16 Parliament Hill London NW3 2TT	13/04/2016 16:32:12	OBJEMAIL	<p>I write as a committee member of the Globe Tennis Club and object to the new planning application which is a variation of the previous planning application 2014/6672/P which was approved.</p> <p>It is good that the site might now be developed but my concern is the extra width of the ground floor footprint which now extends approx 1 metre into the footpath / roadway area on the SE side of the site. The roadway is used by cars and pedestrians (of all ages including infants, children) who use the road for access to the Russell Nurseries Estate, the Community Gardens, the Globe Tennis Club and Globe Tennis Club Car Park. At the tennis club we run lots of sessions for young players (aged 4+ aged). Since the ground floor is proposed to be a Sainsbury's Local Supermarket it is likely that Sainsbury's will want to use this roadway for their delivery trucks. This will be an obstruction and very unsafe particularly for children if conducted during the day and anti-social to local residents if conducted overnight. In practice the Globe Tennis Club need access to the car-park everyday from around 8am until 10pm.</p> <p>I note from the plans that it is proposed that refuse vehicles (and presumably delivery vehicles) use the Globe Tennis Club car-park to turn around the refuse. This is unacceptable and unworkable.</p>
