

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/1590/P	Hetal Patel	96 Greencroft Gardens Flat 4 London NW6 3PH	15/04/2016 16:27:35	PETITNSU PP	This is a fantastic plan and extremely important for the community. It should have the full support of the council. Children are the future of our communities and having a high performing free school is a priority for our area. Those of us who cannot afford the expensive private schools in the area absolutely need this school to go ahead so that we have a place to educate our children in our community.
2016/1590/P	Dan Marks	29 Rosslyn Hill	17/04/2016 12:27:11	OBJ	We are opposed to the proposed development for a number of reasons. 1. Traffic congestion and parking. Traffic in Hampstead is already a nightmare at 'pick up' and 'drop off' times. The stretch of Rosslyn Hill from the traffic lights at Pond St up to Hampstead Village is particularly congested and with or without a "no cars" policy, another school right in the middle of the worst-affected area with all the additional traffic and parking issues implied will create chaos. 2. Educational Need. Hampstead has a policy against building new schools because there are so many in Hampstead. The Academy School and Northbridge House school are located a matter of a few hundred meters from the Police Station. We don't need another school here. 3. Noise. The general noise created by a school, its pupils, parents, activities etc is significant. Unlike the other two schools in the immediate vicinity, this one would not be tucked away but the noise would effect residents all day long at presumably at weekends and evenings.
2016/1590/P	Kelly Williams	Flat 1 18 Downside Crescent London NW3 2AP	13/04/2016 22:18:55	SUPPRT	This school is a much needed and much welcomed addition to the local community. I live with my daughter in the heart of Belsize Park. As things stand, when we apply for schools next year, and without Abacus, there is no chance of her getting into a local school. I have spoken to many other families in a similar situation. Please let this development go ahead so that our children can go to a local school.
2016/1590/P	Susan West	19 Nassington Rd NW3 2TX	15/04/2016 12:50:18	COMMNT	I object to this application on the following grounds:  *Gross over-development of the existing site *Doubling of the pupil intake, resulting in a huge increase in traffic and congestion. Downshire Hill is already congested during the rush hour. Despite reassurances by the school it will be impossible to prevent parents from driving children to school. *Heritage concerns: the proposed changes will spoil the look of the building and will not fit in with the local environment.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/1590/P	Frank Harding	11 Pilgrim's Lane NW31SJ NW31SJ	13/04/2016 18:36:21	OBJEMPER	<p>The application for a school outside the area it is meant to serve will lead to substantial additional traffic in Haverstock Hill, Rossllyn Hill and Downshire Hill as well as the neighbouring side streets including Pilgrim's Lane at times when the traffic is already heavy. On top of that, the parents will have to park their cars in front of or close to the building and, so far as the young children are concerned, leave their cars for a few minutes to take the kids into school. There will be gridlock.</p> <p>Further it now appears as though there will be out of normal school hours activities, so the gridlock will not only be in the morning rush hour and at the time of the daily school run in the afternoon but also at other times.</p> <p>It is not good enough for the school to say that, on the basis of what is the present position in which the many fewer pupils are picked up and taken by bus to the school's current location, the parents have been educated not to use their cars, as the pick up points are much more convenient than they will be when the school moves to the old police station.</p> <p>The school, if one is needed at all, should be in the middle of the catchment area it is meant to serve. The application should be refused.</p>

---