## **Considerate Constructors Scheme Site scoring explained**



Each section of the Checklist is scored out of 10 points, with a score of 5 indicating compliance.

Questions highlighted in bold on the Checklist must be satisfactorily addressed in order for the site to achieve compliance and this indicates that a site has reached a standard beyond statutory requirements. Sites are not only assessed for compliance, but also to identify measures taken which are above and beyond these requirements, and addressing the non-bolded questions on the Checklist may result in a higher score.

The score awarded reflects the Monitor's opinion on how the site is performing based on what they see at the time of the visit and their discussion with the Site Manager.

In any one section, a project's performance is assessed using the following performance descriptors.

Descriptor	Explanation of score descriptor	Score
Gross failure	A letter highlighting the gross failure(s) will be sent with a request for a meeting at the company's office to discuss the issue(s) detailed in the Monitor's report. A further site visit will be required so that the Monitor can establish that compliance has been achieved. Failure to address the issue(s) may result in the site's removal from the Scheme.	1
Failure	A letter highlighting the failure(s) will be sent with a request for the site to address the issue(s) detailed in the Monitor's report. A further visit will then be required so that the Monitor can establish that compliance has been achieved. Failure to address the issue(s) may result in the site's removal from the Scheme.	2
Major non compliance	A letter highlighting the major non-compliance(s) will be sent with a request for the site to address the issue(s) detailed in the Monitor's report. A Monitor revisit will be arranged or evidence will be required to establish that the non-compliant issue(s) has been addressed.	3
Minor non compliance	A letter highlighting the minor non-compliance(s) will be sent with a request for the site to address the issue(s) detailed in the Monitor's report.	4
Compliance	The site has satisfactorily addressed all bold items on the Monitors' Checklist which demonstrates that they are adhering to the minimum requirements of the Scheme's Code.	5
Good	The site has satisfactorily addressed all bold items on the Monitors' Checklist and has also undertaken additional measures to address some of the non-bolded questions demonstrating that they are performing to a good standard beyond the minimum requirements of the Scheme's Code.	6
Very good	The site is performing well above the minimum requirements of the Scheme's Code, and has undertaken a number of additional measures to address most of the non-bolded questions on the Checklist demonstrating that they are performing to a very good standard with a thorough commitment to the Scheme's Code.	7
Excellent	The site has undertaken a significant number of additional measures to address all applicable non-bolded questions on the Checklist demonstrating that they are performing to an excellent standard and showing a real commitment to improving the image of construction.	8
Exceptional	The site is at the forefront of industry best practice demonstrating the very highest level of achievement far above the minimum standards required by the Scheme's Code and has addressed all questions on the Checklist to the highest standards.	9
Innovative	The site, beyond being exceptional, has introduced innovative practices or thinking that goes far beyond the expectations of the Scheme and, as such, is considered to be advancing the standards by which the image of the industry is judged.	10

While an innovative activity is required to achieve a score of 10 in any section, such activities will be recorded regardless of score. When recorded on a visit where a score of 10 has not been achieved, the activity may count towards achieving a 10 score on subsequent visits.

An innovative activity will only count once towards a 10 score unless it is further developed and improved.