From: Davis, Edward

Sent: 23 November 2015 19:58
To: Peres Da Costa, David

Subject: RE: 17 Branch Hill - 2015/3377/P

Hello David.

In reference to the above application I do not wish to object to the application but on the basis of the acoustic information available to me I recommend that any approval that might be granted be subject to the following conditions:

Prior to use of the installation, details shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Council, of the external noise level emitted from plant equipment as stated in report ref: 102819.ph. Issue2, dated 10th June 2015 The measures shall ensure that the external noise level emitted from plant equipment will meet the stated design criteria at the nearest and/or most affected noise sensitive premises, with all machinery operating together at maximum capacity. Approved details shall be implemented prior to use of the plant/equipment and thereafter be permanently retained.

<u>Reason</u>: To ensure that the amenity of occupiers of the development site/ surrounding premises is not adversely affected by noise from plant/mechanical installations/ equipment.

Regards

Edward Davis Noise Officer

Telephone: 02079744501

From: Peres Da Costa, David Sent: 23 November 2015 15:51

To: Davis, Edward

Subject: RE: 17 Branch Hill - 2015/3377/P

Importance: High

Hi Edward,

Have you had a chance to look at this?

I am preparing my draft committee report and need to address the objectors concerns:

The following issues have been raised:

- The plant would produce noise, unpredictably and virtually continuously
- mechanical plants appear to be positioned closer to Firecrest Drive than to the new property.
- would dramatically change Firecrest Drive from a very quiet area to one with mechanical noise.

- The acoustic test was measured in the noisier driveway close to the road (Branch Hill), rather than on the silent Firecrest Drive.
- condensers would be used also as secondary heating source; this implies that
 the new condensers and ventilators would produce much higher noise throughout
 the year.
- · Plant would be just opposite our bedroom
- There is no guarantee the acoustic lining to the plant room will be installed
- Concerns about noise impact on Flat 4 Savoy Court and 1 Firecrest Drive background noise levels should have been measured in these locations
- Vibration from plant
- Residential annex of Holme Hill House (where our nanny lives full-time) is closest to the development
- Acoustic assessment is insufficient (doesn't take account of noise bleed from other systems)

Thanks

David Peres da Costa Senior Planning Officer

Tel.: 020 7974 5262

Visit camden.gov.uk for the latest council information and news

You can <u>sign up</u> to our new and improved planning e-alerts to let you know about new planning applications, decisions and appeals.

