From: Tobias Schneider -

 Sent:
 11 April 2016 14:21

 To:
 Diver, John

Subject: Re: 9 Camden Mews - Resubmission (2016/1242/P)

Dear John,

Thank you for your email and for informing us that the Prior Approval application for 9 Camden Mews has been withdrawn and subsequently resubmitted.

Whereas the scheme itself doesn't seem to have changed (and therefore the same comments we have made to the previous application would still be valid), it is my understanding that at this stage it can only be assessed if the change from former office use to residential would be lawful, and that any further change to the elevational treatment of the building will be subject to a new planning application.

I will therefore limit my comments at this stage to the question if a change of use in itself would be lawful:

The last active use of the property seems not to have been for office use (B1a), but is more likely to fall under D2 (assembly and leisure), as it had been leased to "The Boxing Clinic" (also known as the "Covent Garden Boxing Clinic") from 2011 until 1 October 2014.

A fellow CAAC member provided following information:

Regarding 9 Camden Mews, the website http://london.cylex-uk.co.uk/company/the-boxing-clinic-ltd--20863133.html describes the last tenant, the Boxing Clinic, as a Gym and Fitness Centre. From companies house it appears that the Boxing Clinic moved from Camden Mews and established centres in Islington (the MMA Clinic www.mmaclinic.com/angel/horshops.html#) and Covent Garden (the Covent Garden Fitness Centre http://theboxingclinic.com/covent-garden-classes-times/).

Based on this information, I would ask for categorical evidence that the premises had been used for B1a rather than D2 use, as a change from D2 to residential would not be lawful, as far as I understand.

Please don't hesitate to contact me, should you require any additional information.

Kind regards,

Tobias Schneider Member of the Camden Square CAAC

This e-mail may contain information which is confidential, legally privileged and/or copyright protected. This e- mail is intended for the addressee only. If you receive this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from your computer.

On Wednesday, 6 April 2016, 11:32, "Diver, John" < John.Diver@camden.gov.uk> wrote:

Dear Tobias,

I am writing to inform you and the other Members of the Camden Square CAAC that the below Prior Approval application (2016/0613) was withdrawn and has since been resubmitted. The new application reference number is 2016/1242/P. As you may well be aware, due to the nature of this application there is no statutory requirement for any public consultation however I wish to ask if you would like your former comments to be resubmitted for the new application?

I would also like to take the opportunity to ask yourself and the other members of the CAAC if you might have any evidence/comments regarding the former use of the site. In order for the proposed change of use to be lawful it must be shown that the former use was office (B1a). This assessment is still on going and so we would appreciate any information that you might have.

In regard to your specific comments previously submitted, I would also like to clarify that this application is purely an assessment as to whether the proposed change of use is lawful under the criteria of the General Permitted Development Order 2015. Although some elevation drawings have been submitted, it is only the floor plans that are to be assessed as any external alterations would still require full planning consent. The changes to the elevations shown would therefore require the submission of a full planning application even if the Prior Approval was found to be lawful.

Many thanks,

John Diver Junior Planning Officer Telephone: 02079746368



You can <u>sign up</u> to our new and improved planning e-alerts to let you know about new planning applications, decisions and appeals.

From: Tobias Schneider [mailto: Sent: 26 February 2016 13:48

To: Diver, John Cc: Planning

Subject: Comments on 9 Camden Mews - 2016/0613/P

Dear Mr Diver,

Please find attached our comments on the proposed change of use at 9 Camden Mews. Our main reason for objecting to the proposed development is the lack of essential information supporting the application.

Please don't hesitate to contact me, should you require any additional information.

Kind regards,

Tobias Schneider Member of the Camden Square CAAC This e-mail may contain information which is confidential, legally privileged and/or copyright protected. This e- mail is intended for the addressee only. If you receive this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from your computer.