

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/1372/P	Robert Boyce	26 Patshull Road Kentish Town	03/04/2016 19:07:48	COMMEMP ER	I object to the proposed building on the grounds that it is far too large and out of keeping with the neighbourhood. I believe I am correct in saying that no other building on Kentish Road has six storeys or even five. Certainly all the nearby buildings are a maximum of four storeys. This building, if permitted, will stand out like a sore thumb on the high street, which at present has a pleasingly uniform scale.
2016/1372/P	Tom Young	19 BASSETT STREET LONDON NW5 4PG	01/04/2016 11:23:19	COMMNT	<p>This appears to be an EXCELLENT development proposal.</p> <p>The development make a civilised contribution to KT Rd in three important ways.</p> <p>While the housing proposed is undoubtedly high-end, it is decently designed, and supports a dignified rather than glamourized conception of urban residence.</p> <p>The mix and combination of uses is similarly intelligent. The developer believes commercial lets at good rates are viable in KT. The office space over the shop is very welcome. Both commercial spaces will command a longish view down PoW Rd, and enjoy terrific natural illumination on the west face helped by generous ceiling heights.</p> <p>Lastly, the building appears to have an understated public appearance. Given the amateurish design contribution made to the street recently this is immensely welcome, and may help to demonstrate how the calm intelligence of informed, restrained urbanism can be applied in KT. I like the layered material play in the wall depth of the main elevation openings, the proportion of the large openings at street level, and the simple array of the openings in the elevation above. The allusion to Georgian terracing is intellectual rather than literal: it's achieved by neat, accomplished tailoring rather than gross costumery.</p> <p>The elevation will require a very good quality construction process. By itself, this requirement helps elevate the area.</p> <p>There are good reasons to support this development proposal.</p>
2016/1372/P	Andrew Davidson	22 Bartholomew Villas London NW5 2LL	01/04/2016 13:28:40	COMMNT	I really dont see how a height of six storeys is appropriate with the space it occupies. The two storey Jewsons site behind is also for sale - allowing six stoeys here would surely set a precedent, inevitably leading to a mini high rise development spreading across the block completely out of keeping with the area.
2016/1372/P	John Racle	18B Bartholomew Villas NW5 2LL NW5 2LL	10/04/2016 19:36:34	OBJ	I object to this application as the building is too high and should limited to 3 storeys, on the grounds that the visual impact of the proposed development is negative and the effect of the development on the character of the neighbourhood will be detrimental as it is not in line with the type of properties on the street. Lastly, the bulkiness of the design is not acceptable.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/1372/P	John Racle	18B Bartholomew Villas NW5 2LL NW5 2LL	10/04/2016 19:36:56	OBJ	I object to this application as the building is too high and should be limited to 3 storeys, on the grounds that the visual impact of the proposed development is negative and the effect of the development on the character of the neighbourhood will be detrimental as it is not in line with the type of properties on the street. Lastly, the bulkiness of the design is not acceptable.
2016/1372/P	Vicki Legrove	5d Hampshire street	02/04/2016 10:33:35	OBJ	This proposal seems disproportionately tall in comparison with the surrounding buildings. We do not need another monstrosity like the flats next to Talacre. Also it seems the developer has conveniently only allowed for 9 flats, presumably none of those will be affordable which will continue to make the area unaffordable for people working locally.
2016/1372/P	Julianne Barnard	14 Bartholomew Villas NW5 2LL	06/04/2016 14:26:44	COMMEM AIL	We live on Bartholomew Villas which is behind where the new is proposed to be. Six stories is a lot higher than is there at the moment. I notice there is a report about light but there is no reference to buildings behind where the new building is proposed. I am concerned that this has not been reviewed yet and many properties on Bartholomew Villas may have their rights to light affected. From the bedroom windows at the rear of our property we can view the top of the building on the corner of Prince of Wales road. It is a very pleasant view of a green dome. The view is currently clear and unobstructed. I believe the new building will stop this view being possible. There is also a very high water table in this area. Many properties, some of which belong to Camden are flooded in the basement. If a basement is built for this new building it is possible that the water table might be further disturbed and cause basements located close to the new building to flood. This area is densely populated. The GP at the James Wigg practice each have around 1000 patients. There is also a very high demand for school places, the new development would be better if it remained commercial in order to bring more jobs into the locality.
2016/1372/P	Debby Hyams	31 Alma Street NW5 3DH NW5 3DH	07/04/2016 15:34:07	COMNOT	5 storeys above ground is too high for this area. It will dominate the skyline and act as a precedent for tall buildings, which are unsuitable in this High Street. If the developers amend the application and limit it to 4 storeys above ground I would not object.
2016/1372/P	Jonathan Fingerhut	45 Lawford Road London NW5 2LG	01/04/2016 12:26:41	OBJ	This proposal would be massively out of proportion with any of the surrounding buildings.  It would be a totally inappropriate over-development of the site.  I object to it most strongly.
2016/1372/P	Carolyn	18A Bartholomew Villas NW5 2LL NW5 2LL	02/04/2016 16:01:16	OBJ	I would like to object on the ground of 1. Overlooking/loss of privacy: The flats on the top two floors will be overlooking gardens and flats on Bartholomew Villas which will suffer significant loss of privacy. 2. Visual Amenity: the proposed building is significantly higher than any other in the street. The bulkiness of such building, which comprises of no outside space at ground level is disproportionate to the other buildings in the street. Furthermore, the style does not match any of the adjacent properties.  The height should be limited to 3 storeys only and some outside space should be kept around the building.

<b>Application No:</b>	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	<b>Consultees Addr:</b>	<b>Received:</b>	<b>Comment:</b>	<b>Response:</b>
2016/1372/P	Daisy Durham	132 Kentish Town Road Nw1 9qb	07/04/2016 18:53:10	OBJ	This is devastating to here. I have lived in Kentish a Town my entire life and it's horrible to see what is being done to it. I completely object to this plan. There are plenty of things that this building can be used for, without having to destroy it and make a building that has no history or character. It's just saddening.

---