

Ref: 8822-Condition11-01

4 April 2016
Conor O'Keefe
Lynas Smith
1F Warehouse
Mentmore Terrace
Hackney
E8 3DQ

London
202 Uxbridge Road
London W12 7JP

Tel: +44(0)203 475 2280

Manchester
105 Manchester Road
Bury BL9 0TD

Tel: +44(0)161 850 2280

Dear Conor,

RE: 8822: 45 HOLMES ROAD – CONDITION 11

1.0 PLANNING CONDITION 11

A development at the above address has been proposed and comprises several residential dwellings located over an existing B1 commercial space.

We understand that the London Borough of Camden require the following:

'Before first occupation of the residential units details of sound insulation between the commercial and residential units shall be provided for the building in accordance with a scheme to be first approved by the local planning authority in writing. The use shall thereafter not be carried out other than in accordance with the approved scheme.

Reason: To safeguard the amenities of the adjoining premises and the area generally in accordance with the requirements of policies CS5 of the London Borough of Camden Local Development Framework Core Strategy and policy DP26 of the London Borough of Camden Local Development Framework Development Policies.'

2.0 CRITERIA

Approved Document E states that the following performance standards shall be achieved.

Dwellings-house and flats formed by material change of use - Floors and Stairs: $D_{nT,w} + C_{tr}$ 43 dB.

As the commercial space is B1 and as such should not generate any excessive noise, we recommend that this criterion is appropriate.

3.0 PROPOSED FLOOR BUILD-UP

It is understood that commercial units are B1 in use and are located beneath the proposed residential properties. The proposed separating floor construction is as per the drawing presented in Appendix A.

We have carried out predictions of airborne noise transmission of the separating floor construction. We have assumed that the existing and proposed blockwork wall is imperforate with a density of 1500 kg/m^3 as a minimum.

To derive the predicted performance, we have used previous test data for similar constructions, commercial sound insulation prediction software: Insul and our experience. We would expect the floor to achieve in excess of $D_{nT,w} + C_{tr} 55 \text{ dB}$. This value is significantly higher than the criteria stated in 2.0 and we would therefore deem it appropriate with regards to sound insulation.

For and on behalf of

Clement Acoustics

John Smethurst
BSc (Hons) MIOA
Principal Acoustic Consultant

APPENDIX A

