

Dike, Darlene

From: S.Bagherzade [REDACTED]
Sent: 01 April 2016 14:09
To: Haji-Ismail, Zenab
Cc: Planning
Subject: ref application 2016/1321/P

Dear Ms Zenab Haji Ismail
Dear Camden Planning

Please note my objection to developers 'Essential Living' being allowed to start demolition at 100 Avenue Road before Planning Permission is legally granted.

I understand detailed plans for the foundations have not been submitted and approved. This is of course vital. How can any developer be allowed to start work without these?

We use the playground and open space behind this site and hope to be able to do this summer without the noise, pollution and great disturbance of building works on such a scale. I am surprised at the lack of regulatory checks .

Please look into this.
Thank you
Shany Bagherzade

Dike, Darlene

From: Jackie Prooth [REDACTED]
Sent: 01 April 2016 14:57
To: Haji-Ismail, Zenab
Cc: Planning
Subject: 100 Avenue Road, London NW3 Application 2016/1321P

Dear Sirs,

I am getting rather concerned about this project by Essential Living and their latest application, to demolish the existing building and did foundations before the amended plans have been discussed.

This building is unfortunately, going to be a huge blight on the area, casting shadows all the way round. I feel that the developers, be it for finance or time will continue to take liberties and sneak in amendments, which you from vast experience is is not uncommon.

Please do not give in but make this firm adhere to the previous set proposals.

All the residents want is to live in this area without massive building sites, that go on and on forever. The fil and debris, pollution etc should also be foremost on your minds.

Yours faithfully

Jackie Prooth
15 Fairfax Place,
London NW6 4EJ

Dike, Darlene

From: Haji-Ismail, Zenab
Sent: 01 April 2016 14:56
To: Planning
Subject: FW: Avenye Road NW3

Zenab Haji-Ismail
Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 3270



You can [sign up](#) to our new and improved planning e-alerts to let you know about new planning applications, decisions and appeals.

From: Sheila Rossan [REDACTED]
Sent: 20 March 2016 20:57
To: Haji-Ismail, Zenab
Subject: Avenye Road NW3

I OBJECT to the changes to the
planning application (as a resident
of the area)
(Dr.) Sheila Rossan

Dike, Darlene

From: SHELAGH WEIR [REDACTED]
Sent: 01 April 2016 14:48
To: Haji-Ismail, Zenab
Cc: Planning; [REDACTED]
Subject: Planning applic. 2016/1321/P

Dear Ms Haji-Ismail

Re planning application 2016/1321/P

I wish to object strongly to this whole proposal.

I've lived in West Hampstead since 1973, and have always appreciated the Swiss Cottage leisure area.

I'm appalled that you have granted permission for this monstrous block, and distressed to think of the terrible effect it will have on its neighbourhood.

And I certainly don't think the developers should go ahead with foundation work until the necessary investigations are made. They should stick to the terms and conditions laid down.

Yours sincerely

Dr Shelagh Weir
Flat 2, 4 Acol Rd, London NW6 3AH



Dike, Darlene

From: Haji-Ismail, Zenab
Sent: 01 April 2016 15:24
To: Planning
Subject: FW: LETTER OF OBJECTION RE: Application 2016/1321/P 100 Avenue Road

Zenab Haji-Ismail
Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 3270

-----Original Message-----

From: Susan Hadida [REDACTED]
Sent: 01 April 2016 15:23
To: Haji-Ismail, Zenab
Subject: LETTER OF OBJECTION RE: Application 2016/1321/P 100 Avenue Road

Dear Sirs,

I strongly object to Essential Living's application to amend Conditions 27 and 31 of the Inspector's Report. It must be obvious to all that they should get FULL approval for ALL their underground works before considering the demolition of the existing buildings. It does seem that they may be expecting a lot with such a tall building sitting on top of so much going on in tunnels beneath the surface.

I do hope this application will be considered very carefully.

Susan Hadida
67 Greencroft Gardens
London, NW6 3LJ.

Dike, Darlene

From: Mahesh Chordia [REDACTED]
Sent: 01 April 2016 20:38
To: Haji-Ismail, Zenab
Cc: Planning
Subject: FW: 100 Avenue Road Essential Living trying to start demolition

Dear **FAO Case Officer Zenab Haji-ismail**

I understand that Essential Living have applied to Camden to amend the Conditions 31 & 27 of the Inspector's Report.

I object to this amendment being allowed as there are several outstanding issues needing detailed examination, many of which **MUST BE APPROVED** by the Council **BEFORE** Planning Permission can legally be granted.

Detailed plans for the foundations have **NOT YET** been submitted and approved. The whole problem of Servicing the 184 flats in these proposed blocks has been ignored and a Delivery and Service Plan **MUST** be approved in committee **BEFORE** planning permission is allowed.

Demolition will have serious consequences for the health and well-being of those who live in the area around 100 Avenue Road. The present building provides some protection from traffic noise and the locally high levels of dangerous pollution (with NOx and Particulate Matter levels already exceeding safe limits under EU regulations), which the new layout of buildings will make **MUCH WORSE** as there is a large gap between the tower and the side blocks which will **ACTIVELY FUNNEL** all the noise and pollution from the gyratory into the **HEART** of the Open Space where our children play and all other local people try and relax.

Please acknowledge receipt of this email as soon as possible.

Thanks and regards
Mahesh

Dike, Darlene

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 02 April 2016 10:21
To: Haji-Ismail, Zenab
Cc: Planning
Subject: Application 2016/1321/P 100 Avenue Road

Dear Mr Zenab Haji-ismail,

I am very concerned to hear that Essential Living has made an application to amend Conditions 27 and 31 of the Planning Inspector's report and that if this is passed it will allow demolition of the above premises to take place before the detailed plans for the foundations have been submitted and approved.

As you will be only too aware, there was strong local feeling against this development and considerable disappointment at the Secretary of State's decision to allow it to go ahead. Despite this, we have still to be convinced that Essential Living has yet ascertained that it will be possible – or indeed safe – to dig the foundations necessary to underpin the proposed 24 storey tower. Since the Jubilee tube line runs directly beneath the site and, in addition, there is the very real possibility of the proposed infrastructure of HS2 being impacted, there must be no question of Essential Living being granted permission to demolish the existing building and bulldoze the area before TfL and HS2 have fully investigated EL's plans and confirmed that it is safe to go ahead.

I would respectfully ask Camden to ensure that the pre-conditions laid down by the Planning Inspector are honoured in full and the current application from Essential Living is refused.

Yours sincerely,

Eric Peel

Flat 2

172 Goldhurst Terrace

S. Hampstead

London NW6 3HN

Dike, Darlene

From: Ann Stanton [REDACTED]
Sent: 02 April 2016 20:19
To: Haji-Ismail, Zenab
Cc: Planning
Subject: 100 Avenue Road Essential Living - proposed "premature" and illegal demolition

FAO Case Officer Zenab Haji-ismail

Dear Zenab Haji-ismail

I understand that Essential Living have applied to Camden to amend the Conditions 31 & 27 of the Inspector's Report.

I object to this amendment being allowed as there are several outstanding issues needing detailed examination, many of which **MUST BE APPROVED** by the Council **BEFORE** Planning Permission can legally be granted.

Detailed plans for the foundations have **NOT YET** been submitted and approved. The whole problem of Servicing the 184 flats in these proposed blocks has been ignored and a Delivery and Service Plan **MUST** be approved in committee **BEFORE** planning permission is allowed.

Apart from other major issues the demolition process will have serious consequences for the health and well-being of those who live in the area. The present building provides some protection from traffic noise and the locally high levels of dangerous pollution (with NOx and Particulate Matter levels already exceeding safe limits under EU regulations), which the new layout of buildings will make **MUCH WORSE** as there is a large gap between the tower and the side blocks which will **ACTIVELY FUNNEL** all the noise and pollution from the gyratory into the **HEART** of the Open Space where our children play and all other local people try and relax.

I look forward to your confirmation that the above objections will be respected and abided by.

With many thanks

Ann Stanton
27A Elsworthy Road
London NW3 3BT

[REDACTED]

Dike, Darlene

From: Kumiko Matsuoka [REDACTED]
Sent: 02 April 2016 23:50
To: Haji-Ismail, Zenab
Cc: Planning
Subject: Re: Application 2016/1321/P: 100 Avenue Road

Dear Zenab Haji-Ismail,

I should like to voice my deepest concern over the application that Essential Living have made to you to amend Conditions 27 and 31 of the Inspector's Report. For such a tall building to be built especially above an underground station, it would be absolutely necessary for them to provide *detailed design and assessment reports together with method statement* as stipulated in the Report before any work commences. Submitted papers should be thoroughly checked by yourselves and only approved if all the conditions are met.

I do hope that you will not be accepting their latest application.

Yours sincerely,

Kumiko Matsuoka
105 Greencroft Gardens
London NW6 3PE

Dike, Darlene

From: Haji-Ismail, Zenab
Sent: 03 April 2016 20:21
To: Planning
Subject: FW: Avenue Road Tower Block

Zenab Haji-Ismail
Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 3270



You can [sign up](#) to our new and improved planning e-alerts to let you know about new **planning applications, decisions and appeals.**

From: Anne Hepburn Edwards [REDACTED]
Sent: 02 April 2016 17:01
To: Haji-Ismail, Zenab
Subject: Avenue Road Tower Block

Dear Ms. Zenab Haji-Ismail:

As a resident near of South Hampstead I thoroughly enjoy the open space at the Swiss Cottage Library, the Leisure Centre and the Hampstead Theatre. Please don't allow our enjoyment to be spoiled by the erection of Essential Living's 24-storey tower block. I can't see anything in favour of having this tower block built.

Many thanks.

Sincerely,

Anne H. Edwards
66A Priory Road
London NW6 3RE

Dike, Darlene

From: Haji-Ismail, Zenab
Sent: 03 April 2016 20:21
To: Planning
Subject: FW: Application 2016/1321/P

Zenab Haji-Ismail
Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 3270



You can [sign up](#) to our new and improved planning e-alerts to let you know about new planning applications, decisions and appeals.

From: Clare Dollery [REDACTED]
Sent: 01 April 2016 19:05
To: Haji-Ismail, Zenab
Subject: Application 2016/1321/P

Dear Zenab

I am deeply concerned to hear that Essential Living has made an application to a Conditions 27 and 31 of the Planning Inspector's report and that if this is passed it will allow demolition of the above premises to take place before the detailed plans for the foundations have been submitted and approved.

As you will be only too aware, there was strong local feeling against this development and considerable disappointment at the Secretary of State's decision to allow it to go ahead. Despite this, local people have still to be convinced that Essential Living has yet ascertained that it will be possible – or indeed safe - to dig the foundations necessary to underpin the proposed 24storey tower. Since the Jubilee tube line runs directly beneath the site and, in addition, there is the very real possibility of the proposed infrastructure of HS2 being impacted, there must be no question of Essential Living being granted permission to demolish the existing building and bulldoze the area before TfL and HS2 have fully investigated EL's plans and confirmed that it is safe to go ahead.

I respectfully ask Camden to ensure that the pre-conditions laid down by the Planning Inspector are honoured in full and the current application from Essential Living is refused.

Yours
Dr Clare Dollery
Resident of Canfield Gardens

Sent from my ipad

Dike, Darlene

From: Susan Morand [REDACTED]
Sent: 05 April 2016 11:48
To: Planning
Subject: essential living

*Dear Zenab Haji Ismail I cannot believe that Camden can possibly allow Essential Living to go ahead with plans to demolish Swiss Cottage !!The advantages for the Tower Block will definitely NOT be in favour of the residents of Swiss Cottage ! Who will benefit ???
And where is Democracy in this enterprise?
Yours, Susan Morand. Resident.*