PROJECT TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

JOB TITLE	:	Hotel Southampton Row, London
PROJECT NO	:	18390
DATE	:	18 March 2016
FROM	:	Andrew Jameson
ISSUED TO	:	Veronica Cassin (Harper Downie)



Directors: Stuart G Morgan CEng MIMechE MCIBSE FIOA (Chairman) Simon R Hancock BEng(Hons) CEng MCIBSE FIOA (Managing) John L Gibbs MIOA(D) MSEE CEnv John R Ridpath BSc(Hons) MIOA Andrew D Fermer BSc(Hons) MIOA Andrew G Jameson BSc(Hons) MIOA MAES

RE: 2-6 SOUTHAMPTON ROW, LONDON KITCHEN EXTRACT AHU ACOUSTICS

As part of the redevelopment of the above building, a new air handling unit (AHU) is required to extract air from the main hotel kitchen. This AHU will be located in the courtyard on the second floor chapel roof space and it will, by its nature, generate noise.

Early in design we undertook a baseline environmental noise survey to establish existing noise levels in the courtyard (representative of those at the windows of neighbouring properties that overlook the courtyard) in order to set future noise limits for new plant. These limits were set in line with Local Authority requirements to minimise the noise impact on existing noise sensitive buildings.

Since then, we received plant proposals from the project's mechanical engineers, include the kitchen extract AHU, which were reviewed to ensure the cumulative noise output from all new plant could meet the noise limits set early in the project at the nearest noise sensitive buildings.

The kitchen extract AHU was found to be too noisy and additional noise attestation measures were required to ensure compliance. Various possible solutions were reviewed (e.g. repositioning the unit, reselecting it for quieter models, and screening). However, the unit selected is understood to be the quietest available on the market for the required duty (air flow rates). It is also positioned in the only suitable location and cannot be easily screened.

Consequently, an additional acoustic package was specified. The size of the acoustic package (which is an enhanced casing and a silencer installed on the exhaust air path) is dictated entirely by the required degree of sound reduction. In this case, the smallest package capable of meeting the required sound reduction has been proposed.

The calculations to determine the acoustic package sound reduction performance are enclosed. Without this package, noise levels are likely to exceed the Local Authority compliant noise limits at the nearest neighbouring properties.

Hann Tucker Associates

Page 2 of 2

We trust this brief overview is clear and of assistance. Should you have any further queries on this matter, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned directly.

For and on behalf of Hann Tucker Associates

Andrew Jameson

14/12/2015 HT:	18390 Eng: 0		Vantilation Ovatama												
Project:	Southampton Row			Ventilation Systems											
System Description:		Kitchen Extract		Analysis -											
Receiving Room:	Receiving Room: Windows over Courtyard							Sre	-						
	<u>Kitche</u>	en Extract B	reakout	to Windows over Cou	rtya	rd									
Breakout Details					63	125	250	500	1k	2k	4k	8k			
Enter node number after which breakout occurs	0			Fan Sound Power Level	88	85	89	87	82	78	73	68			
Duct Wall/Fan Casing Loss Description		Other Treatmen m steel, ins (dou		Enter Loss	12	15	19	24	28	30	33	33			
Smallest Duct/Fan Dimension	2000	mm													
Receive Room Details	<u>5</u>				63	125	250	500	1k	2k	4k	8k			
Criterion	dBA		[Note: dB/	A = NR - 10]	05	- 4	10		07		~~~				
Enter Level	47	?]	47dBA	65	54	46	41	37	34	32	30			
No. of additional nearby systems	0	?]												
Distance from source	9	m													
Ceiling Loss		None													
Room Length Dimensions: Height	100000 100000 100000	m m m	-	Sound Power to Room	76	70	70	63	54	48	40	35			
Room Type		Hard Room													
Duct/Fan location	Edg	<mark>ge - junction of v</mark>	vall and cei	iling											
				Lprev	-13	-19	-21	-28	-37	-44	-52	-57			
				Lpdir	52	46	47	40	32	26	18	13			
Total Sound Pressure Level at Receiver (42dBA)					52	46	47	40	32	26	18	13			
				Criterion	65	54	46	41	37	34	32	30			
			IN	SERTION LOSS REQUIRED	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0			

	14/12/2015 H	T: 18390	Eng:													
	Project:		Southampton Row		Ventilation Systems											
	System Descriptio	n:	Kitchen Extract			Analysis -										
	Receive	er: Window	Windows overlooking courtyard				/)S	-					
	Kitchen Extract to Win					erlooking cou			110	72	μ		711	C		
	Fan Details															
	Sound Power Da	ta Manufa	cturer's Data				63	125	250	500	1k	2k	4k	8k		
	Volume Flow (cu.m/se	c) <u>3.28</u>	3.28 Manufacturer's In-Duct Sound Power Levels (dB) Verification: Empirical In-Duct Sound Power Levels (dB)					85 92	87 91	86 88	82 83	77 78	71 <i>73</i>	64 68		
	Total Pressure (P	a) <u>550</u>	Venicalio	п. Етріпса т-D			93	52	51	00	00	70	70	00		
	Fan Typ	be Centrifuț	gal (b/curved)	?		Installation Effects Gives	- 88	- 85	- 87	- 86	- 82	- 77	- 71	- 64		
	Losses								I	_oss [·]	Table)				
Node	Туре		Details	5			63	125	250	500	1k	2k	4k	8k		
1:	Other	Description	Manu, A	ttenuator		Losses	8	13	23	37	37	26	18	13		
2:	Grille	Height (mm)		dth (mm) <mark>120</mark>	0		5	2	0	0	0	0	0	0		

l Power Level @ Grille 75 70 64 49 45 51 53 51

Receiver Details

						63	125	250	500	1k	2k	4k	8k
Criterion at Receiver dBA		[Note: dBA = NR - 10] 47dBA			65	54	46	41	37	34	32	30	
	Enter Level 47	dBA	?	?			0.	10		07	0.	02	
Distance 1	from Source 9	m	No. of nearby systems	0	,								
Directivity:	Horizontal Vertical	0 ° 0 °			Directivity	+2	+3	+4	+5	+6	+6	+6	+6
Radiation:	Quarter-spherical		?		Divergence	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24
			Noise Leve	el at Rece	iver (42dBA)	53	49	44	30	27	33	35	33
			INSERT	ION LOS		0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3