					Printed on:	01/04/2016	09:05:09
Application No:	Consultees Name:	<b>Consultees Addr:</b>	Received:	Comment:	Response:		
2016/0931/P	Monica Malkani	7 Fairfax Place	31/03/2016 20:43:35	OBJEMPER	I still formally object to the new plans for the following reasons:		
					1. The erection of a mansard roof including installation of 3 dormer windows, wi the residents of Fairfax Place.	ll be an eyesore to	
					2. The three south facing dormer windows will be high and see round the end-gable of Coalyard Mews overlooking all the gardens of Coalyard Mews, Fairfax Place and Goldhurst Terraad looking south. This is unacceptable as this hinders any personal privacy.	e	
					3. There are already windows on the North and South aspects of the building whi adequate light to the bedrooms. The three 3 rear dormer windows will overlook the Place and Coal Yard Mews. It continues to abuse the my privacy and will absolute into my garden and house and that of these residents.	gardens of Fairfax	ι.
					For all these reasons I absolutely object to the new resubmitted drawings.		

					1 mited on. 01/04/2010 09.05
Application No:	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	<b>Consultees Addr:</b>	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/0931/P	Jonathan Read	13 Fairfax Place London	31/03/2016 13:34:03	OBJ	Further to my original comment on planning application 2015/4386/P, which was also for a Mansard roof, before it was subsequently redacted, I wish to highlight:
					<ul> <li>Two windows are shown on the First floor which overlook our Fairfax Place property. There is no mention in the plans as to whether these would be opaque. Given that these overlook directly into our daughter's bedroom, we would not wish for her privacy to be impeded. Further, these windows appear to be full-length in height and could potentially be used to access the roof. As has previously been noted by the Council, this roof must not be used as a roof terrace, but I am concerned that full length windows may allow unauthorised access. Even if the existing owner does not plan to use the roof of the car port as a terrace, allowing such windows may give future owners the idea they can use this space, which would hugely reduce the privacy of our rear garden.</li> <li>The Mansard roof is going to block even more light than the proposed Dormer extension, by being yet another 233mm taller than the previous option (itself being c. 200mm taller than the previous structure had been) and being of far greater volume. This will restrict light to our rear garden and kitchen from the South, which is the only source of sunlight that we have.</li> <li>We feel that the proposed plans are not in keeping with the style of other buildings in this conservation area, being a Georgian townhouse with multiple coloured tiles, as compared to the Victorian and Edwardian properties which far more typically feature Dormer extensions.</li> </ul>
					<ul> <li>I also wish to highlight some planning guidance which countenances the proposals.</li> <li>Section 7.16 of the Character Appraisal and Management Strategy (CAMS) document noted "alterations should not result in increased visual bulk to the roof, nor should they draw more attention". The proposed alterations do result in increased visual bulk (visual not only from the rear of properties in Fairfax Road, but even from the corner of Fairhazel Gardens and Fairfax Place.</li> <li>Section 7.16 of CAMS also notes that any design that "does not take into account the careful design of the original building – its front elevation and traditional roof form – and the pattern of neighbouring buildings as a whole" can be "damaging to the character of the area". The proposed Mansard roof design does not take the original roof design into account whatsoever. Nor does it conform to the pattern of neighbouring buildings and is therefore damaging to the character of this conservation area.</li> </ul>
					Overall in conclusion, whilst the revised drawings do not have a window overlooking our property, the sheer size of the structure proposed will result in less light and less privacy for us and we therefore would request that you reject the latest proposals.
2016/0931/P	A Fischer	111 Goldhurst Terrace London NW63HA	30/03/2016 20:21:27	OBJ	I agree with other comments submitted and would like to object to this proposal on the basis of the effect this development will have on the light and privacy of neighbouring properties.