

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/0714/P	Sally Rodger	27 Lindfield Gardens London NW36PX	26/03/2016 14:45:01	OBJNOT	<p>I cannot believe that the applicants who have just bought this property now want to add an incredibly ugly extension to their house. If the house was inadequate for their needs why did they buy it? The extension will be clearly visible from my property and although the extensive tree report has been commissioned I have no doubt that as in many other cases in the nearby Lindfield Gardens, the tree roots will be damaged and the beautiful mature trees that produce invaluable cover for the new construction are replaced by saplings which produce no cover at all. Remember this site is on a relatively steep hill and so overlooked from a great height. We would need 50 foot leylandiis to obscure it</p> <p>As the architect report states there are two ways to go for a development retain the character of the rest of the buildings or go for something modern and hope it looks good. The grey boxlike structure in my opinion looks horrible. I have lived in 27 Lindfield Gardens for over 50 years and this ghastly grey box will be visible from the windows of the houses Lindfield gardens for a good five months of the year as all the trees are deciduous. Give me the "pastiche" of attempting to match the character of the existing houses any day.</p> <p>The blackberry ice cladding resembles absolutely nothing in the street and claiming the colour to match Leinster Mansion's roof is laughable.</p> <p>Will there be adequate sound insulation as this new extension will be close to the fence line and the tranquillity of gardens of Lindfield Gardens will be impaired by noise from the gym and "teen rooms" Is corian a decent sound proofing material? Its large flat surface would more likely make it the whole place act as a giant speaker.</p> <p>Frankly this whole application is grossly un neighbourly and likely to cause disputes in the future.</p>

---

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/0714/P	Nancy Mayo	12A Hollycroft Avenue NW3 7QL NW3 7QL	28/03/2016 21:41:45	OBJ	<p>Dear Mr. Tulloch,</p> <p>We object to the principle of infill extensions, which are contrary to the Redington Frogmal Conservation Area Statement and Guidelines.</p> <p>This particular application is also completely incompatible with the Conservation Area Guidelines in terms of its grossly unsympathetic design. We do not accept that the proposed extension in plastic purple is successful in respecting the historical context and, as proposed, it would constitute a grossly negative contribution to the street scene.</p> <p>Instead, we should greatly favour a high-quality pastiche, adhering to the massing and fenestration of the Edwardian buildings of this sub area.</p> <p>We appreciate the retention of the traditional front boundary hedge and low retaining wall.</p> <p>Yours sincerely,</p> <p>Nancy Mayo Secretary</p> <p>Redington Frogmal Association <a href="http://www.redfrogmalstead.org">http://www.redfrogmalstead.org</a></p>

---