

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/6775/P	Chris Twaddle	87 Oakshott Court Polygon Road London NW1 1ST NW1 1ST	21/03/2016 23:18:39	OBJ	<p>I object to the proposals on the grounds that they fail to comply with Camden's Core Strategies 14 & 15.</p> <p>In particular :</p> <p>DP24 (24.4)...</p> <p>'In accordance with government guidance in Planning Policy Statement (PPS) 1...'</p> <p>“we will not accept design that is inappropriate to its context or which fails to take opportunities to improve the character and quality of an area and the way that it is used by residents and visitors.”</p> <p>The proposed camera locations and design are in direct contravention of the above policy. No alternative camera types or locations have been discussed with residents of the estate despite being told details would be provided. The proposed cameras are unsightly and demonstrate a complete lack of comprehension of DP24 by the applicant.</p> <p>CS15 requires a "sustainable and attractive Camden" the strategy mentions improving our environment. These proposals do the opposite.</p> <p>DP31 requires improvements to open public space - this will create the opposite.</p> <p>Good design does not cost more and the proposed installation represents a lack of understanding of what is required.</p> <p>"The Privacy Impact Assessment" mentioned in the supporting documents does not seem to exist - this should be part of the application before any determination can be made.</p> <p>I have seen no evidence and to support this application.</p> <p>No design and access statement has been submitted or any justification for cameras on 4-6M high poles on our walkways. The siting fails to comply with council planning policy and for this reason and the ones above should be rejected.</p>
