



Pam, just to let you know that Matthias has successfully got the applicants to install a timber door instead of a metal one. I have seen the revised drawing and approved it. It's on our DB! Best, Richard.

On 11 Mar 2016 19:00, "Pam White" [REDACTED] wrote:
Given the comment sent in by the PHCAAC, below, I am worried that the applicant is proceeding without the benefit of our advice.

The shopfitters are now working at the site and they told me this afternoon that they are replacing the door. On an earlier conversation with the shopfitters, I was informed that they would probably keep the wooden door. It seems this has now changed.

I do not know if you have visited recently and are negotiating with the applicant on the basis of the PHCAAC comment, but it would be useful if you can let myself and Richard Simpson know what is happening here.

Strong objection to the loss and replacement of the original timber door. This is a shopfront recognized in the Primrose Hill conservation area statement as a shopfront of merit, and as such the Statement specifies, at PH44, that it should be retained and repaired. This is a clear example where what may seem a small change would allow further deterioration through cumulative loss of details which would be seriously harmful to the preservation and enhancement of the conservation area.

Pam White
Primrose Hill Conservation Area Advisory Committee
