

## Camden Square Conservation Area Advisory Committee

**9 Camden Mews  
London  
NW1 9DB**

**Date:** 25 February 2016

**Planning application Reference:** 2016/0613/P

**Proposal:** Change of use

**Summary:** The CAAC **objects** to the proposed change of use: key documents are missing and the proposal should never have been validated or registered

**Comments:**

1. Several key documents are missing without which it is impossible to make an informed judgment about the application. There is no
  - rear elevation,
  - site plan (only a location plan),
  - application form and thus no information on proposed materials)
  - section, which although not essential would be helpfulFurthermore, and most critically, there is no information on neighbouring buildings.
2. Whilst the existing massing may be unchanged, without a rear elevation it is impossible to comment on the size and proportions of the rear windows. It is also important to know if the existing and proposed windows have or are planned to have clear or obscured glazing.
3. In the absence of any information, it is impossible to comment on the materials to be used, their durability or the style of the building.
4. As already mentioned, it is essential to see plans of the existing and proposed rear façade, particularly with respect to the dimensions of the proposed rear windows and the kind of glazing to be used. This is especially important, as the proposed change of use from commercial to residential could have a considerable impact on the adjacent properties in terms of privacy, light and noise pollution.
5. One of the characteristics of Camden Mews is the mix of residential housing and workshops. This is very evident in this southern part of the Mews. Reducing further the number of workshops would change the balance of the Mews.

Secretary: Hugh Lake, 17 Camden Square NW1 9UY Tel [REDACTED]

## Camden Square Conservation Area Advisory Committee

6. It is quite clear that given the inadequacy and insufficiency of supporting material, Camden should never have validated or registered this application.
7. The absence of key documentation demands that the application should be rejected.

**Signed:**

[REDACTED]

**Date:** 25 February 2016

Tobias Schneider  
Camden Square CAAC