Application No. Consulters Name Consulters Name Resource 2015/7036P Subine and Thomas Caspanie A Elleridue Roadi Loadon 03/03/2016 1438.11 UB We would like to object to planning applications 2015/684.3P and 2015/7036.PL as we feel this a planning the subscription of the previously granted underplanning. As far a subscription introduces uniral changes to the roof structure. Virial GRA Virial GRA Virial GRA Virial GRA Virial GRA Virial GRA Virial GRA Virial GRA Virial GRA Virial GRA Virial GRA Virial GRA Virial GRA Virial GRA Virial GRA Virial GRA Virial GRA Virial GRA Virial GRA Virial GRA Virial GRA Virial GRA Virial GRA Virial GRA Virial GRA Virial GRA Virial GRA Virial GRA Virial GRA Virial GRA Virial GRA Virial GRA Virial GRA Virial GRA Virial GRA Virial GRA Virial GRA Virial GRA Virial GRA Virial GRA Virial GRA Virial GRA Virial GRA Virial GRA Virial GRA Virial G						Printed on: 04/03/2016 09:0
Thomas Casparie London application introduces unfair changes to the roof structure. NW3 6BA Firstly we note that in both applications one or two basement storeys are requested, and that the form of construction is further specified as pilling instead of the previously granted underpinning. As far as these changes are concerned we want to make sure that the development is supported by poles. We trust that the planning commune we want to make sure that the development is supported by poles. We trust that the planning commune we want to make sure that the development is supported by poles. We trust while the tensing commune we want to we want to make sure that the development is supported by poles. We trust walls and granteme evil carchelly consider this. What we object to in both applications, however, is the change in structure and lay-out of the roof. When the object to in both applications, the bouse boing literally "supecers" in between planning authorities. Expecially the nord walls and granteme evil carchelly consider this. What we object to in both applications the applications the applications the applications and with the entire surface proposed to be covered in sedum grans. We have every in both applications the applications the applications and with the entire surface proposed to the south grants, and asking for photovoltaic panels to be installed. The area reserved for the sedum grans, on other words the "green" appliest of the development. In above applications the applications. We have planned to other planned to other surface and that the applications the applications. We were vere this and with the entire surface planned to other words the "green" applications the applications. We have planne to the south gr	Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
Firstly we note that in both applications one or two basement storeys are requested, and that the form of construction is further specified as piling instead of the previously granted underpinning. As far as these changes are concerned we want to make sure that the development is sail and sound and will not lead to subsidence of our flat, especially our kitchen extension which is supported by poles. We trust that the planning committee will carefully consider this. What we object to in both applications, however, is the change in structure and lay-out of the roof. When the original planning request was granted in 2013, when we bought our flat, we thought that the whole enterprise was somewhat precarious, the house being literally "squeezed in" between adjacent walls and gratems. But we were reassured by the fact that the plans for the house looked elegant and non-imposing, something that trust also have pleesed the planning euthorities. Especially the roof was environmental friendly and un-obtury, with 3 small round rooflights and with the entire surface proposed to be covered in sedum grass. Now, however, in both applications the applicant has changed the planned roof structure, adding one rooflight, making all the rooflight/s windows much beigger, and asking for photovoltaic panels to be installed. The tare asserved for the sedum grass. Now, however, in both applicant is now trying to introdace a much less appealing roof than originally granted, via the backdoor of these anneedde applicant. R seems matrix that the applicant is now trying to introdace a much less appealing roof than originally granted, via the backdoor of the sea anneedde applicant. Now incomposed by having to overolook a concrete structure and do not thin it necessary for the ap	2015/7036/P		London	03/03/2016 14:38:11	OBJ	
When the original planning request was granted in 2013, when we bought our flat, we thought that the whole enterprise was somewhat precarious, the house being literally "squeezed in" between adjacent walls and gardens. But we were reassured by the fact that the plann for the house booked elegant and non-imposing, something that must also have pleased the planning authorities. Especially the roof was environmental friendly and un-obtrusive, with 3 small round rooflights and with the entire surface proposed to be covered in sedum grass.Now, however, in both applications the applicant has changed the planned roof structure, adding one rooflight, making all the rooflights' windows much bigger, and asking for photovoltaic panels to be installed. The area reserved for the sedum grass, or in other words the "green" aspect of the development, has been significantly reduced.It seems unfair that the applicant is now trying to introduce a much less appealing roof than originally granted, via the backdoor of these amended applications.Our kitchen windows look directly out on the roof of 11 Ellerdale Road. We feel already compromised by having to overlook a concrete structure and do not think it necessary for the applicant to make any further changes to the roof plan as originally granted, and add further and much less discrete constructions on the flat roof.The amendments in the proposed surface of the roof diminish our amenities as direct neighbours. These 						construction is further specified as piling instead of the previously granted underpinning. As far as these changes are concerned we want to make sure that the development is safe and sound and will not lead to subsidence of our flat, especially our kitchen extension which is supported by poles. We trust
rooflight, making all the rooflights/ windows much bigger, and asking for photovoltaic panels to be installed. The area reserved for the sedum grass, or in other words the "green" aspect of the development, has been significantly reduced. It seems unfair that the applicant is now trying to introduce a much less appealing roof than originally granted, via the backdoor of these amended applications. Our kitchen windows look directly out on the roof of 1 Ellerdale Road. We feel already compromised by having to overlook a concrete structure and do not think it necessary for the applicant to make any further changes to the roof plan as originally granted, and add further and much less discrete constructions on the flat roof. The amendments in the proposed surface of the roof diminish our amenities as direct neighbours. These changes will also jeopardise the feel and look of the proposed building in a dedicated conservation area. We are supportive of the rest of the development and by no means want to delay any proposed building works. However, we feel strongly against the changed roof structure and would ask the committee to						When the original planning request was granted in 2013, when we bought our flat, we thought that the whole enterprise was somewhat precarious, the house being literally "squeezed in" between adjacent walls and gardens. But we were reassured by the fact that the plans for the house looked elegant and non-imposing, something that must also have pleased the planning authorities. Especially the roof was environmental friendly and un-obtrusive, with 3 small round rooflights and with the entire surface
granted, via the backdoor of these amended applications. Our kitchen windows look directly out on the roof of 1 Ellerdale Road. We feel already compromised by having to overlook a concrete structure and do not think it necessary for the applicant to make any further changes to the roof plan as originally granted, and add further and much less discrete constructions on the flat roof. The amendments in the proposed surface of the roof diminish our amenities as direct neighbours. These changes will also jeopardise the feel and look of the proposed building in a dedicated conservation area. We are supportive of the rest of the development and by no means want to delay any proposed building works. However, we feel strongly against the changed roof structure and would ask the committee to						rooflight, making all the rooflights/ windows much bigger, and asking for photovoltaic panels to be installed. The area reserved for the sedum grass, or in other words the "green" aspect of the
by having to overlook a concrete structure and do not think it necessary for the applicant to make any further changes to the roof plan as originally granted, and add further and much less discrete constructions on the flat roof.The amendments in the proposed surface of the roof diminish our amenities as direct neighbours. These changes will also jeopardise the feel and look of the proposed building in a dedicated conservation area.We are supportive of the rest of the development and by no means want to delay any proposed building works. However, we feel strongly against the changed roof structure and would ask the committee to						
changes will also jeopardise the feel and look of the proposed building in a dedicated conservation area. We are supportive of the rest of the development and by no means want to delay any proposed building works. However, we feel strongly against the changed roof structure and would ask the committee to						by having to overlook a concrete structure and do not think it necessary for the applicant to make any further changes to the roof plan as originally granted, and add further and much less discrete
works. However, we feel strongly against the changed roof structure and would ask the committee to						changes will also jeopardise the feel and look of the proposed building in a dedicated conservation
						works. However, we feel strongly against the changed roof structure and would ask the committee to