Delegated Rep	Ort Analysis sh	eet	et Expiry Date:				
(Merers Briefing	D). N/A / attache	d	Cons. Expire:	21/06/02			
Officer		Application Number(s)					
MILH.		PEX0200414 (Associated LEX0200415).					
Application Address		Drawing Num	bers				
2 Marylebone Road NW1.		6335/SK/01 & 0	2.				
PO 3/4 Area Team	n Signature C&UD	Authorised Of	fficer Signature				
Proposal(s)							
Replacement of Air Cooled Compressor Chiller plant at roof level.							
Recommendation(s): Grant full planning permission with condition.							
Code:	FPC.			-			

.

•

٠

•

١

F. 30

•

	1							
	Prior to the commencement of works on site detailed plans shall be submitted							
	to and approved in writing by the Council to show that the existing louvred							
Conditions or Reasons for Refusal:	plant enclosure	shall be	extended to the he	ight of t	he new plant.			
(Use Pacis codes for standard text)	The proposed louvred plant enclosure /cooling tower hereby approved and							
	shown on drawings 6335/SK/01 and 02 shall be painted 'English Sky Grey or its equivalent' and permanently maintained.							
			ities of the adjoining	premis	es and the area gen	erally in		
	accordance with	n the re	quirements of policy	RE2 of	the London Borough	of		
	Camden Unitary	Camden Unitary Development Plan 2000.						
Reasons for Conditions:								
	To ensure that	the ext	ernal appearance of	the build	ding will be satisfac	tory in		
	accordance with	accordance with the requirements of policies EN1 and EN14 +EN31 of the						
	London Borough of Camden Unitary Development Plan 2000.							
	[Click here and t	ype]			······································			
Informatives:						•		
	•							
Consultations								
	No. notified	00	No. of responses	00	No. of objections	00		
	No. notified None.	00	No. of responses	00	No. of objections	00		
Consultations Adjoining Occupiers: Summary of consultation		00	No. of responses	00	No. of objections	00		
Adjoining Occupiers: Summary of consultation		00	No. of responses	00	No. of objections	00		
Adjoining Occupiers: Summary of consultation	None.	<u> </u>			No. of objections			
Adjoining Occupiers: Summary of consultation	None.	<u> </u>	No. of responses		No. of objections			
Adjoining Occupiers: Summary of consultation	None. English Heritage Regents Pk. CAA	Flexible	e authorisation. No con jection, Comment- i).	iments. The exist	ing plant on the roof i			
Adjoining Occupiers: Summary of consultation responses:	None. English Heritage Regents Pk. CAA in long views alon	Flexible <u>C: No Ob</u> g Albany	authorisation. No con <u>jection, Comment</u> - i). St., & its visual impac	iments. The exist	ing plant on the roof i be minimised. ii). We	s visible		
Adjoining Occupiers: Summary of consultation responses: CAAC/Local groups* comments:	None. English Heritage Regents Pk. CAA in long views alon understand from	Flexible <u>C: No Ob</u> g Albany the draw	authorisation. No con <u>jection, Comment</u> - i). St., & its visual impac vings that there would	iments. The exist t should b be no wo	ing plant on the roof i be minimised, ii). We rsening of the curren	s visible		
Adjoining Occupiers: Summary of consultation responses: CAAC/Local groups* comments:	None. <u>English Heritage</u> <u>Regents Pk. CAA</u> in long views alon understand from situation, & conse	Flexible <u>C: No Ob</u> g Albany the draw	authorisation. No con <u>jection, Comment</u> - i). St., & its visual impac vings that there would we have no objection t	iments. The exist t should b be no wo o the pro	ing plant on the roof i be minimised, ii). We rsening of the curren posal. iii). In order to	s visible		
Adjoining Occupiers:	None. <u>English Heritage</u> <u>Regents Pk. CAAC</u> in long views alon understand from situation, & conse some enhancement	Flexible <u>C: No Ob</u> g Albany the draw equently nt to the	authorisation. No con <u>jection, Comment</u> - i). St., & its visual impac vings that there would	The exist the exist t should b be no wo o the pro	ing plant on the roof i be minimised, ii). We rsening of the curren posal, iii). In order to uest that the whole	s visible t achieve		

•

-

•

(12)

Barrow and a second second

Site Description

A 3-st building situated on the south west side of Albany St. east of Peto Place & opposite Osnaburgh Terrace. The building is within Regents Pk. C.A. It is listed Grade II.

Relevant History

The case file records shows a history of land uses from offices to residential & more recently for CCTV. The planning records began in 1953.

Relevant policies

UDP: EN6, EN7, EN21, EN31.

SPG/ Others: DS6-Noise & vibration.

Assessment

The main issues are: i) noise & vibration, ii) impact on the appearance of the building & on the character & appearance of the C.A.

The existing cooling tower, which comprises the chiller units & louvred plant enclosure are situated centrally within the roof of the building. The proposed replacement chiller units would occupy the roof space currently being used & would not project above the existing cooling tower. The submitted Acoustic report shows that the proposed chiller units accords with the Council's standards /requirements & are satisfactory. Officers considered however, that the louvre enclosure height be raised so as to minimised any likely increase of noise/ vibration from the proposed units. The Regents Pk. CAAC was of the opinion that the proposal could be enhanced by having the cooling tower painted 'English Sky Grey' to enhance the character & appearance of the C.A. Officers considered that conditions would be imposed.

There has been no record of complaints by local residents or objections too the proposal. The proposal in terms of neighbour amenity is satisfactory & accord with policy & SPG guidelines.

Comments on CAACs Obs.

From street level the existing cooling tower is not easily visible due to the height of the building & the actual location of the cooling tower itself. However from the taller surrounding buildings the entire roof is visible. In terms of long views officers do not consider that the proposal would have a detrimental impact on the C.A.

Delegated Rep	egated Report Analys		eet	Expiry Date:	09/07/02		
(Meiners Briefing		N/A / attache	d	Cons. Expire:	21/06/02		
Officer	5/	1	Applicatio	pplication Number(s)			
MILH.			LEX0200415 (Associated PEX0200414).				
Application Address	1		Drawing N	umbers			
2 Marylebone Road NW1.			6335/SK/01	& 02.			
PO 3/4 Area Tean	n Signatı	ure C&UD	Authorise	d Officer Signature			
Proposal(s)							
Replacement of Air Cooled C	• :						
Replacement of Air Cooled C	• :	Chiller plant at ro					
Replacement of Air Cooled C	• :						
Replacement of Air Cooled Co Recommendation(s):	Grant lis						
Replacement of Air Cooled Co Recommendation(s):	Grant lis						
Replacement of Air Cooled Co Recommendation(s):	Grant lis						

۰. ۲

and a second and a second as a second a

:

Conditions or Reasons for Refusal: (Use Pacis codes for standard text)			of making good shall tible in materials and			original	
Reasons for Conditions:	In order to safeguard the special architectural and historic interest of the building in accordance with the requirements of policy EN38 of the London Borough of Camden Unitary Development Plan 2000.						
Informatives:	N/A.						
Consultations							
pining Occupiers:	No. notified	00	No. of responses	00	No. of objections	00	
Summary of consultation responses:	[Click here and	type]	•		· ·		
CAAC/Local groups* comments: *Please Specify	[Click here and	type]					
	· · ·		5				

٢

Site Description

A 3-st building situated on the south west side of Albany St. east of Peto Place & opposite Osnaburgh Terrace. The building is within Regents Pk. C.A. It is listed Grade II.

Relevant History

The case file records shows a history of land uses from offices to residential & more recently for CCTV. The planning records began in 1953.

Relevant policies

UDP: EN38.

SPG/ Others.

Assessment

The main issue concern the impact of the proposal on the appearance of the Grade II listed building.

The existing cooling tower, which comprises the chiller units & louvred plant enclosure are situated centrally within the roof of the building. The proposed replacement chiller units would occupy the roof space & cooling tower currently being used. The proposed chiller units would have minimum impact on the historic fabric of the grade II listed building & it is satisfactory. The proposal accords with EN38.