					Printed on: 18/02/2016 09:05:18
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
Application No: 2015/7138/P	Consultees Name: Paul Field	Consultees Addr: Garden Flat 2 Cantelowes Road London NW1 9XH	Received: 18/02/2016 00:22:43		Response: My wife and I have owned the lower ground floor of 2 Cantelowes Road, known as the Garden Flat, for 18 years and know the area extremely well. We are as enchanted today by the Camden Square Conservation Area and the architecturally pleasing Murray Mews as we were when we first viewed our garden flat in 1998. We currently use the flat as our London base for work and pleasure, as our main residence is in Suffolk. We have excellent relationships with neighbours locally and are not surprised by the deep unease brought about by the plans submitted for the development of 44 Murray Mews. The proposed size of the development strikes us as disproportionate to the footprint of no 44. We were not surprised to learn that the property was built as a small studio at the bottom of the garden of 1 Cantelowes Road. Extending to 2.7m above the current height of the studio house amounts to over-development, in our view. The desire to go down into the basement as well as up well strikes us as excessive. In particular we are concerned about the impact on light to our garden. It is already hard to maintain healthy cultivation and garden due to the enormous tree in the garden of 3 Cantelowes Road. It seems implausible that an additional storey on top of 44 Murray Mews wouldn't further reduce light to the garden, considerably affecting our enjoyment of our property. It seems ironic that the overriding reason for the additional top storey is the creation of a light well to provide light to the living spaces below. While we have always enjoyed the architectural boldness of properties in Murray Mews — it really is a delight to walk down the mews — this proposal feels hubristic. We do not find the precedents stated very compelling, especially those referring to those houses with third storey extensions as they do are not in close proximity to properties adjoining their gardens. An
					third storey extensions as they do are not in close proximity to properties adjoining their gardens. An additional storey at no 44 would itself set a precedent due to its close proximity to the gardens of numbers 1 and 2 Cantelowes Road (and especially no 1). It is alarming that the terraced areas in the proposed development will enable the owners to look directly into 1 Cantelowes Road and be imposing for 2 and 3 Cantelowes Road.
					We would urge the owners to scale back their plans and that the planners are sympathetic to the genuine concerns of neighbours.

					Printed on: 18/02/2016 09:05	:05:18
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:	
2015/7138/P	Lucy Engleheart	1 Cambridge Road Kew Richmond	17/02/2016 21:55:59	OBJ	I am the leaseholder of Flat 4, 2 Cantelowes Road and am writing to object to the proposed development at 44 Murray Mews.	
					I'm concerned that the proposed additional floor will make the house considerably higher which will have a huge impact on the light to the gardens on Cantelowes Road (to the garden at no. 1 in particular but this is likely to also affect our garden at no. 2).	
					I'm also worried about the proposed basement dig and the associated disturbances that this will cause to my tenants and other residents while works are carried out.	
					Thank you for your consideration.	