

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/0240/P	Alan Margolis	Flat A 152 Haverstock Hill NW3 2AY	15/02/2016 19:08:45	OBJEMPER	<p>1. The planning application is being rather disingenuous: the only food shop historically was a takeaway sushi bar which did not provide customer seating. We believe the lease to these premises does not provide for customer seating and the head landlord will not change the lease to make this provision.</p> <p>2. When the premises were a Sushi Bar local residents objected to cooking odours. Nothing in the proposed application would change the antisocial affect if cooking took place again in the premises.</p> <p>2. The hotel building next door There has been three recent has attempts to run 3 separate restaurants all of which have failed. There is no local need as the immediate surroundings are a hotel on one side and a hairdressers on the other with residential all around. Indeed we believe the hotel has given up trying to run a restaurant and has made planning application to change the restaurant into more hotel rooms (to which we agree). There are at least 10 restaurants 200 yards up the road next to Belsize Park tube and then some more further down Haverstock Hill in Steeles Village and we believe changing use to a restaurant will be overdevelopment and not in keeping with need which is residential and services for residents.</p> <p>3. The proposed restaurant does not provide for toilet facilities for customers which would be breaching planning. There is an adjoining passage- way next to the premises leading to residential flats. We are concerned patrons of the restaurant will trespass on this private area and use it as a toilet. If this were to happen this could place occupiers of the flats at risk of men exposing themselves as well as stopping residents of safely entering their own homes.</p> <p>4. The proposed restaurant does not propose to provide disabled facilities of any kind. The premises have a step up access, so disabled people would not be able to use the premises which would be a breach of Equality Law. Additionally there are no disabled toilet facilities.</p> <p>5. There is no provision for the storage or removal of rubbish. Presently rubbish is collected from roadside but this is not waste food material. The application suggests that this waste should be left on the roadside daily for collection and this will only create a health hazard with vermin.</p> <p>6. The current shop, a Dry Cleaners, has recently been infested with rats and mice but have made little or no attempt to cure this. Altering use to a food business can only increase the hazard of vermin when all around are residential units with young children.</p> <p>7) The owners of the flat above the premises will not grant permission for any odour extraction unit or funnel to be near or affixed to their walls. Indeed we believe any existing extraction unit is non-functioning and not up to current health and safety standards.</p> <p>8) We believe the applicant, in keeping with the terms and conditions of their Lease, must seek permission from the Landlord before making such application for change of use. They have not sought such permission.</p> <p>9) We further object to the proposal as there will be a return to cooking odours which will affect all the residential units above and next door and the houses backing onto the premises.</p>