



I strongly object to the proposal. If passed it will stand as a blatantly clear case of the profits of a developer being put above rights of the existing community.

It is an excessive overdevelopment that doesn't fit the character of the existing area. Social and affordable housing are not part of this scheme and it will force out the existing trades people of Panther House. Other local businesses will also be lost.

The work needed will last for a number of years and will cause considerable disruption to residents.

The new 'through route' from Grays Inn to Mount Pleasant {open 24/7 at both ends} will present new opportunities for anti-social behaviour. Cutbacks to neighbourhood policing and the lack of a concierge will not help to keep this new throughfare safe.

The "Public Realm Security Features & Design Elements" document is full of words like 'could' and 'expected'. This is not good enough when the issue is public safety.

**STRONG** assurances are needed **NOT** weasel words!

I understand that the developer "would not be opposed to licensed premises selling alcohol on the site". What consideration has gone into the impact on the locality of this 'possible' addition? Very little I suspect!

The heightening of Panther House will result in the loss of light to the buildings facing it. This will be significant to the lower floors of Mullen Tower {the building I live in}. The proposed terraces for Panther House will also face Mullen Tower. As far as I am aware no limits have been placed on their use and residents will be forced to endure the noise that will inevitably result

Comments made by Sean McDonagh of Flat 33, Mullen Tower, Mount Pleasant, London, WC1X

OAG

Email 

Comment Type is Objection