TERESA & DAVID SLADEN, 77 PARLIAMENT HILL LO

The Director of Planning, London Borough of Camden 2nd Floor, 5 Pancras Square, Judd St, London WC1H 9JF.

5 February 2010

Dear Sir.

Address: Flat 2nd and 3rd Floor, 77 Parliament Hill, London NW3 2TH

Application 2015/6309/P

Plans for rear roof extension, replacement of window with French doors at front second floor level and various external alterations to elevations in connection with the existing 2^{nd} floor/roof level flat

We do not object to the insertion of French doors at the front second floor level of the house, the insertion of a window in the south wall (which does not affect the privacy of our next door neighbours) or the construction of a high brick wall at the southern end of the roof terrace.

We do, however, strongly object to the proposed roof extension on the north side of the building facing the Heath. At present this elevation looks much as it did when the house was built. It is constructed in brick and the gable has ornamental barge-boards. Adding a metal-clad extension in the same plane as the gable would spoil the effect of the barge-boards, alter the overall form of the house and damage views of it from the Heath. Furthermore the design of the huge plate glass window proposed is wholly out of character with the house and this too would be very obvious from the Heath.

Planning permission has already been given for a large extension on the southern half of the roof terrace but this will not be very visible from the Heath. Seen from the ground the existing line of windows opening onto the roof terrace is not very obtrusive because it is largely hidden by the projection of the terrace itself. But this would not be the case with the new roof extension proposed as there is nothing to mask it in this position.

77 Parliament Hill is in the South Hill Park Conservation Area and is also subject to the Council's Fringes of the Heath Policy. In our view if allowed the roof extension now proposed would contravene both. We therefore ask you to refuse planning permission for this aspect of the current application.

Yours sincerely

Teresa and David Sladen

TERESA & DAVID SLADEN, 77 PARLIAMENT HILL, LONDON NW3 2TH

The Director of Planning, London Borough of Camden Town Hall, Argyle Street, London WC1H 8ND.

5 February 2016

Dear Sir,

Address: Flat 2nd and 3rd Floor, 77 Parliament Hill, London NW3 2TH

Application 2015/6309/P

Plans for enlarged rear roof extension, replacement of window with French doors at front second floor level and various external alterations to elevations in connection with the existing $2^{\rm nd}$ floor/roof level flat

We do not object to the insertion of French doors at the front second floor level of the house, the insertion of a window in the south wall (which does not affect the privacy of our next door neighbours) or the construction of a high brick wall at the southern end of the roof terrace.

We do, however, strongly object to the proposed roof extension on the north side of the building facing the Heath. At present this elevation looks much as it did when the house was built. It is constructed in brick and the gable has ornamental barge-boards. Adding a metal-clad extension in the same plane as the gable would spoil the effect of the barge-boards, alter the overall form of the house and damage views of it from the Heath. Furthermore the design of the huge plate glass window proposed is wholly out of character with the house and this too would be very obvious from the Heath.

Planning permission has already been given for a large extension on the southern half of the roof terrace but this will not be very visible from the Heath. Seen from the ground the existing line of windows opening onto the roof terrace is not very obtrusive because it is largely hidden by the projection of the terrace itself. But this would not be the case with the new roof extension proposed as there is nothing to mask it in this position.

77 Parliament Hill is in the South Hill Park Conservation Area and is also subject to the Council's Fringes of the Heath Policy. In our view if allowed the roof extension now proposed would contravene both. We therefore ask you to refuse planning permission for this aspect of the current application.

Yours sincerely		
P		
rcresa and David Sladen		
Feresa and David Staden		

First floor flat 77 Parliament Hill London NW3 2TH

Web site: www.decohen.com

08/02/2016

Dear Sir.

Application 2015/6309/P, Flat 2nd and 3rd floor, 77 Parliament Hill London NW3 2TH

Plans for enlarged rear roof extension, replacement of window with French doors at front second floor level, and various external alterations to elevations in connection with the existing second floor/roof level flat.

While much of the proposed work is acceptable, I strongly object to one portion of the proposal. I refer to the proposed roof extension on the north side of the building, facing the Heath. I ask for planning permission to be refused for this part of the application for reasons given below.

The proposed extension is metal-clad with a large plate glass window. This is in complete contrast with the current brick elevation. The effect would be to min the character of the house, and would be very obtrusive for anyone walking on the Heath. 77 Parliament Hill is the last house in the road, and any changes would be very visible from the Heath.

77 Parliament Hill is in the South Hill Park Conservation Area, and the Fringes of the Heath policy is also relevant. Such a visible and obtrusive extension seems to me to be in contravention of these policies, and I trust that this aspect of the application will be refused.

Yours sincerely,

Daniel Cohen

Flat 2 75 Parliament Hill London NW3 2TH

8th February 2016

The Director
Regeneration and Planning
London Borough of Camden
Town Hall
Judd Street
London WC1H 8ND

Dear Sir or Madam,

Application 2015/6309/P 2nd and 3rd Floor Flat 77 Parliament Hill London NW3 2TH

I am writing to object to the aspects of this proposal which will affect the appearance of the flat and the house from Hampstead Heath. At the moment the gable with its barge boards and brick construction is in-keeping with the original design. A metal-clad extension and large plate glass window would not blend architecturally with the rest of the house and would be an eyesore from the heath. And it's in a conservation area!

I would urge you to refuse planning permission for these parts of the application.

Yours sincerely,

Ann Cartwright