

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/2704/P	Richard G White	42 Charrington St NW1 1RD	30/01/2016 10:28:14	COMMEM AIL	<p>Hi,</p> <p>Many thanks for such a clear planning proposal.</p> <p>I have a comment to make:</p> <p>The proposal should not allow very tall buildings to be built on the Plot 10 area. The height of neighboring buildings is 1-5 stories, with the closest buildings 1 or 2 stories. A proposal to build a 9 story building in this location is out of character with this location, near listed buildings</p> <p>Best wishes, Richard</p>
2015/2704/P	René rivera	walker house phoenix road	28/01/2016 18:44:54	PETITNOBJ E	<p>This project is a monstrosity, it will increase pollution in this area, will affect visibility, will cut trees, some residents nearby will be affected, will increase gentrification. On other words it is a bad idea.</p>
2015/2704/P	Wendy Priolo	55 Coopers Lane	01/02/2016 15:14:35	OBJ	<p>I object to the increase in building density, particularly on green open space. The nearly 50%increase in building footprint on these spaces will change the skyline and make a denser and darker environment. The buildings, especially the new hall and flats on Purchase Street / Hamden Close and the Brill Tower will affect the amount of sunlight that gets to my flat and the views from it.</p> <p>The loss of greenery and trees is also a problem as this will affect air quality. I am asthmatic so am concerned about negative affects on my health.</p> <p>My husband has dementia and depends on me to take him out, especially to the local park. this is an important amenity and the loss of Coopers Lane park will have a serious affect on his quality of life. The new landscaping is not a park and will be unrecognisable as such to someone like my husband where at least some familiarity is important.</p> <p>The new garden facility is public, not a community garden where residents like us can volunteer and maintain the space whilst getting some exercise an socialising. This change will increase social isolation as well as cost more to run. The tenants hall will also change into a community hall - this again means a loss of a valuable resident run resource that brings people together. I volunteer at the tenants hall along with other people living around Coopers Lane.</p> <p>The park will be lost - the new design is not a neighbourhood park. It looks planned to accommodate buildings and access to them, not for leisure and the wider community using it.</p> <p>The character of the area will be lost - Coopers Lane is a low rise estate that was designed with the park as an amenity. This will be blocked out by new taller buildings overlooking the open space that is left. The 25 storey building is completely out of place and detracts from surrounding historic characteristics of the neighbourhood and St Pancras station.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/2704/P	Tahmina Ahmed	42 Walker House London NW1 1EP	28/01/2016 12:04:25	OBJ	<p>I am not happy about the changes that are proposed for the area where I live.</p> <p>Changing the playground areas will affect my children when there is less space. We like it as it is now.</p> <p>I am very shocked at the plan to have such a huge building on the green area. This is much too high and will overlook the entire community.</p> <p>Losing the large trees will affect the air quality where I live and is not good for my children's health. This is a huge development and will affect us all in a bad way.</p>
2015/2704/P	Michelle Rispin	56 Levita House Ossulston Street	01/02/2016 09:04:51	OBJEMPER	<p>Many people want a pleasant Community Garden open to all eg where Crick staff can eat their lunch and anyone else can relax. The area is very polluted because of the taxis but this will gradually get better in the future with eg changes to the taxis' diesel output. Removing the trees will soon make this situation worse (where it is on the pollution map there as already the most extremely polluted) and it has been in the news recently that new research found that even just small increases in pollution increases heart attacks. Diesel pollution is also related to cancer, and children in polluted areas have permanently reduced lungs and lung capacity. This goes against The Council's core strategy of Improving Camden's Health and Wellbeing (CS16) in the Local Development Framework, and in an area that is worst affected in this way.</p> <p>Also needed is a fair size dog run around area (where the trees are, so it's a dual use), if it is to encourage dogs to be on the lead generally and help control dogs (regarding the dogs' mess as well as risk of attacks, which should be avoided). A small protected children's play area would also be needed for the same reason. Also, "the local council must keep public areas like parks, playgrounds and pavements clear of dog mess." (Gov.uk)</p> <p>I think Open Space if it doesn't mean grass and trees might mean green potential sports area but is would not usually mean a very small area or eg a piazza. (We have a park and the community etc can be asked how to solve any problem rather than take it away. It is Camden's Core strategy to Protect Open Space and Park and substantial funding is apparently already earmarked and waiting, for the park's improvement, which was a consequence of the next door Crick development.) The Council's core strategy is also to consider and preserve the air quality and its challenge to reduce it.</p> <p>Extremely expensive flats, used for investment, will also contribute to the rising property price problem, and is against Camden's policy of polarisation of rich and poor.</p> <p>Places that it is agreed that it would be better to renew are the ones that the Council should replace and build housing on.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/2704/P	Mary O'Connor	7 St Francis Bridgeway Street	01/02/2016 09:14:48	OBJ	<p>The area is already overcrowded.</p> <p>The tower will be out of place. It is not a big park.</p> <p>Do the landscaping of the park. Forget about the damn multi-millionaires housing. They will find accommodation elsewhere.</p> <p>Look after the community and keep the green areas for health and welfare.</p>
2015/2704/P	Murrough Landon	17 Levita House Chalton St London NW1 1JJ	30/01/2016 21:58:10	OBJ	<p>I strongly object to the proposed development involving the building of tower blocks in Purchase St park, one of the very small areas of green space in Somerstown.</p> <p>I regularly walk along Brill place past the park, even when routes via Euston road might be quicker, in order to avoid traffic, suffer less air pollution and to appreciate the trees. So this development would affect me personally.</p> <p>Apart from my personal considerations, the development is entirely contradictory to council policies to protect designated open spaces as established in "Camden Core Strategy 2010-2015 section CS15" where Somerstown is mentioned (in CS15.2) as one of the areas with relatively poor provision of open space. It is quite wrong to reduce the amount of open space in this neighbourhood.</p> <p>As well as reducing the amount of green space, the proposed development also divides it up into smaller areas. Any building has an effective psychological dead zone around it which further reduces the amount of green space people actually feel able to use.</p> <p>Building on the park would remove most of the mature trees which help moderate the high levels of air pollution in the area. The Euston road area already regularly exceeds government limits on pollution (as measured by the Kings College London Air service). Section CS13.15 in the Core Strategy document commits the council to trying to improve air pollution. This development would make it worse.</p> <p>The development is also likely to increase social contrasts between the relatively disadvantaged local community and wealthier new residents of these private blocks.</p> <p>Such a large tower block of up to 25 storeys is also totally out of keeping with the area where existing developments are rarely more than five or six storeys.</p> <p>A more acceptable alternative would be to redevelop some existing low rise developments to a slightly higher level preserving the existing open space as it is.</p>