From: Rimesh Patel rimesh.patel@ingletonwood.co.uk

Subject: FW: ref: 2014/4193/P - Lamble Street and Barrington Close

Date: 16 November 2015 at 13:06
To: Tom Sykes tom@burdhaward.com

Hi Tom,

Below is the correspondence with Eimear re: CO2 requirements for B&L.

She states a 20% improvement over Part L 2013, although I would suspect this to actually be 19% over Part L 2013 (in line with CODE level 4).

Hope that helps, if you need to me to do anything else on this matter, then give me a shout.

I'll take a look at the SAPs later today/tomorrow morning, will keep you posted.

Kind regards

Rimesh Patel

Sustainability Specialist | MEng DD: 020 7680 4409 | M: 07940 057731

Ingleton Wood LLP

1 Alie Street London E1 8DE T: 020 7680 4400 www.ingletonwood.co.uk

From: Heavey, Eimear [mailto:Eimear.Heavey@camden.gov.uk]

Sent: 04 June 2015 15:31

To: Rimesh Patel <rimesh.patel@ingletonwood.co.uk>

Subject: RE: ref: 2014/4193/P - Lamble Street and Barrington Close

Yes-2013.

Eimear Heavey Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 2949

From: Rimesh Patel [mailto:rimesh.patel@ingletonwood.co.uk]

Sent: 04 June 2015 15:30 **To:** Heavey, Eimear

Subject: RE: ref: 2014/4193/P - Lamble Street and Barrington Close

Eimar

Thank you for your response, hugely appreciated!!!

Just to clarify, do you mean a 20% reduction against Part L 2013? As we are assuming the scheme falls under Part L 2013 due to the time of the planning application.

Yes we are going with the same strategy, it's just that the amount of PVs cannot fit onto the roofs as first envisaged due to their awkward shape.

Kind regards

Rimesh Patel

Sustainability Specialist | MEng DD: 020 7680 4409 | M: 07940 057731

Ingleton Wood LLP

1 Alie Street London E1 8DE T: 020 7680 4400 www.ingletonwood.co.uk

From: Heavey, Eimear [mailto:Eimear.Heavey@camden.gov.uk]

Sent: 04 June 2015 15:22

To: Rimesh Patel

Subject: RE: ref: 2014/4193/P - Lamble Street and Barrington Close

Hi Rimesh – sorry for taking so long to reply to you, I was quite a few days catching up on stuff after being on leave.

Anyway with regards to your query – this is not a major scheme and therefore the 40% CO_2 is not a requirement. Hence if you are planning on using the same energy strategy and can bump up the CO_2 reduction to at least 20% then this would be fine. We would generally ask that Code level 4 incorporates a carbon reduction of 20%.

Hope this helps.

Eimear Heavey Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 2949

From: Rimesh Patel [mailto:rimesh.patel@ingletonwood.co.uk]

Sent: 29 May 2015 12:28 **To:** Heavey, Eimear

Subject: RE: ref: 2014/4193/P - Lamble Street and Barrington Close

Afternoon Eimear,

Have you had a chance to review my email sent last Wednesday (see blow) regarding Lamble Street and Barrington Close?

Kind regards

Rimesh Patel

Sustainability Specialist | MEng

DD: 020 7680 4409 | M: 07940 057731

Ingleton Wood LLP

1 Alie Street London E1 8DE T: 020 7680 4400 www.ingletonwood.co.uk

From: Rimesh Patel Sent: 20 May 2015 14:01

To: eimear.heavey@camden.gov.uk

Subject: ref: 2014/4193/P - Lamble Street and Barrington Close

Hi Eimear,

Application reference: 2014/4193/P

I understand you are the planning officer for the Lamble Street and Barrington Close project (5 new resi units on 3 sites) and I would like some clarification on the energy strategy please.

I work for Ingleton Work and we produced the energy strategy report for the planning application which was written in April 2014. Our preliminary calculations showed a potential 44% $\rm CO_2$ reduction against Part L 2010 across all 3 sites. This was in order to meet the London requirements of a 40% $\rm CO_2$ reduction. However, we believe this policy is only applicable to 'major developments' and therefore does not actually apply to this scheme as it's less than $1000 \rm m^2$ GIA. Is this correct?

As the application was submitted in June 2014, we believe Part L 2013 must be adhered to instead of Part L 2010 which is slightly more onerous. Having re-visited our calculations, we may struggle to achieve this level of CO_2 reduction with the current strategy. So would it be acceptable to keep the current strategy (enhanced building fabric, PVs on sites 1 and 3, ASHP for site 2 etc.) so it can achieve the energy / CO_2 requirements of Code Level 4, i.e. an overall combined 19% reduction against Part L 2013 across the 3 sites?

I hope the above makes sense and I hope to hear from you soon. (I've tried calling you several times over the last few days but can't manage to get through).

Kind regards

Rimesh Patel

Sustainability Specialist | MEng DD: 020 7680 4409 | M: 07940 057731 For Ingleton Wood LLP



London Office:

1 Alie Street London E1 8DE

T: 020 7680 4400 F: 020 7680 9200 www.ingletonwood.co.uk

Vision, form and function

P Consider the environment and only print it necessary

The contents of this e-mail are subject to Ingleton Wood LLP's Disclaimer







Be prepared!

Changes to the CDM Regulations are coming fast Click here for further details as to how we can help you.

This e-mail may contain information which is confidential, legally privileged and/or copyright protected. This e- mail is intended for the addressee only. If you receive this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from your computer.

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service. For more information please visit http://www.symanteccloud.com

This e-mail may contain information which is confidential, legally privileged and/or copyright protected. This e- mail is intended for the addressee only. If you receive this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from your computer.

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service. For more information please visit http://www.symanteccloud.com