

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
-----------------	------------------	------------------	-----------	----------	-----------

2) The roof terrace above the rear extension will impact on the privacy of adjoining properties.

The proposed roof terrace projects into the garden space between the Camden Mews house and the house on Camden Square; there is no other comparable roof terrace in this area. The terrace would be too close to buildings behind and either side and would compromise visual and noise privacy of adjoining occupiers. Account has only been taken of views from ground level but the privacy of people living in flats on higher floors would be affected

Use of this terrace in the evenings would add to the noise and artificial light levels in this quiet back garden area

A proposed roof terrace to the rear of No 10 Camden Mews (2008/1786/P) was refused permission because it would result in loss of privacy to adjoining occupiers

The construction of this terrace may act as a precedent for similar roof terraces being built resulting in loss of privacy, increased noise levels, light pollution and unwelcome stress levels between neighbours.

For these reasons we object to the planning proposal

---

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/6764/P	L.lewis	176 camden road london nw1 9hg	25/01/2016 17:08:21	OBJ	<p>Dear Sir/Madam,</p> <p>I object most strongly to the above proposal.</p> <p>In an extremely overcrowded Conservation Area this would add to the congestion, noise &amp; pollution suffered by the local residents.</p> <p>Unlike camden Square, the Mews was designed to cater for stabling horses at ground floor level. It is extremely unfortunate that a series of two storey dwellings have been built denying light and air to the surrounding homes.</p> <p>A three storey building would be a step too far and also out of the building line.</p> <p>This is a Conservation Area.</p> <p>A roof terrace would infringe the privacy of many people in the area, totally unacceptable.</p> <p>Being so close to Camden Girls School the demand for property nearby has outstripped demand and it is a shame that Conservation concerns have been routinely ignored.</p> <p>It would be a service to the overcrowded community if there was less building and more planting of trees,</p> <p>which would go a little way in improving air quality next to a major road in and out of the great city of London.</p> <p>yours sincerely,</p> <p>L.Lewis.</p> <p>The proposed building would remove a garage where there is precious little room for vehicles to park on the street.</p>

---

2015/6764/P	L.lewis	176 camden road london nw1 9hg	25/01/2016 17:07:58	OBJ
-------------	---------	--------------------------------------	---------------------	-----

---

2015/6764/P	L.lewis	176 camden road london nw1 9hg	25/01/2016 17:08:20	OBJ
-------------	---------	--------------------------------------	---------------------	-----

---

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/6764/P	Jonathan Asser	31 Camden Mews	25/01/2016 12:03:48	OBJNOT	<p>I object to this proposed development.</p> <p>My primary objection is about (1) aspect, and my secondary objection is about (2) privacy.</p> <p>(1) My home enjoys from its main living space a view of the trees and the greenery both of the gardens of 2 and 3 Camden Square and of Camden Square itself, with The Shard also visible from my main living space in winter when the leaves have fallen.</p> <p>The proposed development will block this view.</p> <p>(2) Windows and the roof terrace of the proposed development will look directly into my main living space.</p> <p>I was kindly invited to a meeting with the applicant and the architect on 23rd July 2015, where I was shown a plan of the proposed development, and where I raised my objections. I was grateful to the applicant and to the architect for mentioning ways in which my secondary objection about privacy might be alleviated, but I have not heard anything since. Nor have I heard anything regarding my primary objection about the blocked view, which would in any case still pertain even if the proposed development were reduced from three storeys down to the heights of the two-storey buildings on either side.</p>
2015/6764/P	Dr Thomas Tuohy	Ingleberg Braystones Road Beckermeth CA21 2XX CA21 2XX	25/01/2016 09:58:29	OBJ	<p>As the owner of flat 3, 4 Camden Square I object to this proposal as it would compromise the privacy and value of my property.</p> <p>A bay window in my drawing room, the kitchen and back bedroom would all be overlooked.</p> <p>I have no objection to a development of 2 storeys, but the additional third storey would set a precedent for additions to other houses in Camden Mews</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/6764/P	Maureen Parry and Danny Nissim	5 Camden Square LONDON NW3 9UY	25/01/2016 09:47:51	OBJ	Maureen Parry and Danny Nissim 5 Camden Square LONDON NW1 9UY

Re planning proposal 2015/6764/P  
3 Camden Square NW1 9UY

We wish to object to this planning proposal on two counts: 1) the proposed third storey and 2) the terrace on the roof on the rear extension. This plan to build a mews house is being presented by the person who owns the corresponding house in the square, which backs onto the proposed building site. We trust that planning officers will realise that if any third party owned the house behind, they would be likely to have serious concerns about this proposed building.

We have of course great sympathy for the health problems suffered by the applicant's wife, but the proposed building is beyond anything necessary for the care of this lady. Indeed as many people successfully care for sick partners in small houses and flats, we feel that his stressing this aspect to be irrelevant.

1) The construction of a third story is excessive and unjustified. It will impact on the light, privacy and feeling of enclosure for those people living in the houses backing onto this site, having a negative effect on their quality of life

The third storey is proposed in a run of buildings all of two stories and this new bulk and height would be inappropriate in the Conservations Area.

Drawing/plan PO2 shows this third storey being set back and not visible from Camden Mews, but it will be clearly visible and appear bulky to all those living in the houses on the square which back onto the proposed building;

The elevation to three storeys is only just behind the building line of the existing two stories mews buildings and this set back would have a negligible effect in mitigating the impact of the third storey.

Nearby third stories in the mews cited as precedents are further away from their corresponding Camden Square houses and so are not relevant precedents. This third storey would be too close to the houses behind and would have a negative impact on light and on the privacy of those people living nearby

A proposed extension upwards of No 10 Camden Mews to three stories (2008/1786/P) was refused permission because it would be "visually prominent" and would be harmful to "the character and appearance of the Camden Square Conversation Area, contrary to Policies B1"

If this third storey were to be built it could act as a precedent for third stories being built in this part of the mews – this would have a negative impact on the quality of life of those people living in the houses backing onto the mews re sunlight and daylight, visual privacy, feelings of space.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
-----------------	------------------	------------------	-----------	----------	-----------

2) The roof terrace above the rear extension will impact on the privacy of adjoining properties.

The proposed roof terrace projects into the garden space between the Camden Mews house and the house on Camden Square; there is no other comparable roof terrace in this area. The terrace would be too close to buildings behind and either side and would compromise visual and noise privacy of adjoining occupiers. Account has only been taken of views from ground level but the privacy of people living in flats on higher floors would be affected

Use of this terrace in the evenings would add to the noise and artificial light levels in this quiet back garden area

A proposed roof terrace to the rear of No 10 Camden Mews (2008/1786/P) was refused permission because it would result in loss of privacy to adjoining occupiers

The construction of this terrace may act as a precedent for similar roof terraces being built resulting in loss of privacy, increased noise levels, light pollution and unwelcome stress levels between neighbours.

For these reasons we object to the planning proposal

---