

**Camden Council Customer feedback and enquiries  
Comments on a current Planning Application - Ref. 20417950**

---

**Planning Application Details**

Year 2015  
Number 6455  
Letter P  
Planning application address 156 West End Lane

Title Mr.  
Your First Name Keith  
Initial  
Last Name Cowell  
Organisation  
Comment Type Object

Postcode NW6 1HX  
Address line 1 9 Lymington Road  
Address line 2 LONDON  
Address line 3

Postcode NW6 1HX  
E-mail   
Confirm e-mail   
Contact number

Your comments on the planning application

My objections are:  
1. The bulk and massing of the development is too great for this site. It is right to continue the scale and height of other buildings along West End Lane, as the main thoroughfare and High Street, but wrong to return this into the site, which is no more than a pedestrian route and a proposed residential environment, with no through traffic.  
2. The adjacent Conservation area deserves respect from the proposed development, which should consist of a graded transition in height away from the established area, rather than a blunt visual snub in front of it. The current

## Camden Council Customer feedback and enquiries Comments on a current Planning Application - Ref. 20417950

---

### Planning Application Details

proposals degrade the Conservation Area status and make a mockery of the supposed Planning protection that should be afforded if these proposals are approved unaltered.

3. The extent of large scale developments in the area appears excessive and needs to be reviewed on an area impact analysis basis, not just an individual scheme by scheme. If it were to be so assessed the serious shortfall in infrastructure in the area would be highlighted. The area can barely cope as it is, but by the time the Ballymore, Iverson, Maygrove and Liddell Road developments are occupied the area will be seriously over stressed. No matter what S106 Agreement is put in place, this will not be able to negate the over development of the area.

4. Given the developments close proximity to the railway hub of West Hampstead, a policy of no parking and no rights for residents parking should be applied, with the exception of disabled users.

I assume that there is no link between the value of the site, the allowable density and that Camden is also the owner as well as Planning Authority, but one can understand why this view might be suggested.

I urge you to reject the current proposals and call for a reworked design more in keeping with the location and the adjacent Conservation Area.

**If you wish to upload a file containing your comments then use the link below**

No files attached

### About this form

Issued by	Camden Council Customer feedback and enquiries Camden Town Hall Judd Street London WC1H 9JE
Form reference	20417950