					Printed on: 12/01/2016 09:05:1	7
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:	
2015/6764/P	Rachel Wrangham	20 Rochester Square	11/01/2016 21:19:55	OBJEMAIL	I object to this proposed development.	
					1. My main concern is that permitting a third floor on Camden Mews will open the floodgates for many other residents to add a third floor onto their homes. This would fundamentally alter the nature of Camden Mews: the longest Mews in London, so far preserved from becoming a tunnel – in part by the continuing existence of 'gaps' such as this. If such gaps, understandably and reasonably enough, are to be filled in, their development must not be to the permanent detriment of the area.	
					2. Although I support the owners' desire to remain in the area, in an accessible modern house, this is over-development, and is manifestly not essential for the well-being of the proposed occupants. Three extra bedrooms, on top of the couple's own accessible bedroom, and a bedroom for a carer seems excessive. The third floor (second storey) will house bedrooms 4 and 5. Removing this floor would still allow for an accessible bedroom, a spare bedroom/room for the non-disabled member of the couple AND a carer's room.	
					3. Documentation is misleading/unclear. Is this a 4/5 bed house (according to S3 of the application), a 4 bed (this form), or a 3 bed (S18 of the application)?	
					4. Pedestrian concerns. It is not correct that pedestrians always use the main roadway in Camden Mews. The Mews is used by a large number of parents taking children to school. The cobbled surface is not appropriate for pushchairs, so parents with pushchairs do use the pavement (which will not be available to them during construction).	
					5. Access for construction vehicles- site – the plans all say that access will be via Murray Street/Agar Grove, but Camden Mews is no entry at that junction. This (should!) mean that all construction traffic comes from the north, the full length of the Mews – or will the construction require the suspension of this one-way street?	