Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Printe Response:	on:	11/01/2016	09:05:17	
2015/6208/P	Richard Simpson for Primrose Hill CAAC	12A Manley Street London NW1 8LT	24/12/2015 13:12:30		ADVICE from Primrose Hill Conservation Area Advisory Committee 12A Manley Street, London NW1 8LT				
					16 December 2015				
					Garden Flat 45 Gloucester Avenue NW1 7BA 2015/6208/P				
					Erection of infill front extension under staircase to lower ground flat and exte side and rear elevations at lower ground floor.	sed of a symmetrical pair of houses. This a constitute much of Gloucester Avenue, and is a ing of the area in the 1840s. The paired symmetry t gardens which enable views of the front by this application. The houses are registered as			
					No 45 Gloucester Avenue is one half of a villa composed of a symmetrical paracontrasts with the terraces and groups of houses which constitute much of Glour form which reflects the earlier ambitions for the planning of the area in the 18 is highly visible from the public street, with open front gardens which enable elevation of the lower ground floor which is affected by this application. The making a positive contribution to the conservation area in the Primrose Hill coat p. 25.				
					1.0 The proposals for alterations to the front area. These would have an impact appearance of the conservation area as noted above. There are two issues for the conservation area as noted above.	drawing P210 rev D. This would stand indow, and would be intrusive and			
					1.1 One is the new rooflight identified on the front elevation drawing P210 re higher than the head height of the main lower ground floor window, and woul harmful. It should be possible to use a horizontal lay-light in this location whi visual impact on the front elevation.				
					1.2 the enclosure of the projecting section of the existing front steps (to the le D). We acknowledge that a projection exists, but its enclosure as proposed we prominence to what is already an unwelcome accretion to the original form of the house. It would be seriously harmful to the character and appearance of the note that this harmful addition is only needed because the existing front door flat has been re-located: this could be modified by changing the position of the so that the projection would not be needed ad the appearance of the front elevery the projection would be needed as the appearance of the front elevery the projection would be needed as the appearance of the front elevery the projection would not be needed as the appearance of the front elevery the projection would not be needed as the appearance of the front elevery the projection would not be needed as the appearance of the front elevery the projection would not be needed as the appearance of the front elevery the projection would not be needed as the appearance of the front elevery the projection would not be needed as the appearance of the front elevery the projection would not be needed as the appearance of the front elevery the projection would not be needed as the appearance of the front elevery the projection which is the projection would not be needed as the appearance of the front elevery the projection would not be needed as the appearance of the front elevery the projection would not be needed as the appearance of the front elevery the projection where the projection would not be needed as the appearance of the front elevery the projection where the projection would not be needed as the appearance of the front elevery the projection where the	ure as proposed would add bulk and the original form of the main front steps to ad appearance of the conservation area. We existing front door to the lower ground floor g the position of the proposed hall cupboard,			
					1.3 These are precisely the sort of alterations, which are minor in themselves, cause loss of significance to the conservation area: it is to prevent such harm conservation areas are designated.				
					2.0 Proposed alterations at the rear. We object to the insertion of the sliding for inappropriate in scale and proportion to the surviving rear elevation of the hou PH27 in the Primrose Hill conservation area statement. The present openings pattern of the fenestration at the rear and should be retained, thought there are frames which would enhance natural light.	e. The	y conflict with the alignment		

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:	Printed on:	11/01/2016	09:05:17
					3.0 We would be happy to review revisions to this scheme.			
					Richard Simpson FSA Chair			
2015/6208/P	Richard Simpson for Primrose Hill CAAC	12A Manley Street London NW1 8LT	24/12/2015 13:12:08	3 ОВЈ				