

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Consultees Addr: | Received: | Comment: | Response: |
|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------|--|
| 2015/6838/P | David Chatterjee | 2A Kidderpore Gardens | 10/01/2016 14:55:26 | COMMNT | <p>I am opposed to the application.</p> <p>It will remove a public parking bay in favour of a private one which, by forbidding others, can only enjoy less usage, not more. Kidderpore Gardens is very constrained for parking, and for making it worse we should demand a much better reason than mere private convenience.</p> <p>If it is to go ahead, it should be on the basis of any car not protruding over the pavement. I think this is impossible. The application is preposterously short of the required 4.8m distance. I note that the submitted drawings are of a two-seater car with an inch's gap on the house side. In reality there will no doubt be an SUV there eventually, with a 2-foot gap to allow use of the car boot, which will block the pavement by several feet and certainly by far more than illustrated. This intrusiveness is already the situation with several neighbours who have been similarly selfish, leaving pedestrians no option but to zigzag their way repeatedly.</p> <p>If this project is permitted, then eventually every house on the street will be forced to make the same choice. Can there please be an end to this creeping elimination of much-needed public parking - and indeed much-needed garden space and water permeability capacity – rather than its further promotion.</p> |
| 2015/6838/P | Gwen and Stanley Burnton | 9 Kidderpore Avenue | 27/12/2015 13:21:59 | OBJ | <p>We object to this application. There are already too many hard-surfaced front gardens in Kidderpore Gardens. They lead to an increased risk of flooding and are aesthetically objectionable. In addition, too many cars parked in front gardens are too long, and overhang the crossover and protrude into the pavement. This makes it difficult for pushchairs and for disabled with poor sight or impaired mobility. Sadly, Camden has done nothing about this dangerous situation.</p> |