37 REDINGTON ROAD, HAMPSTEAD, LONDON NW3 7QY

28th December 2015

Mr Raymond Yeung MRTPI Planning Officer Planning Solutions Team Regeneration and Planning Culture and Environment London Borough of Camden Second Floor 5 Pancras Square London N1C 4AG

Dear Mr Yeung

RE: 2015/6155/P

I am forced to repeat and stand by the contents of my letter dated 14th October 2011 and once again object in the strongest possible terms to this latest Planning Application.

Yours sincerely

Ronel Whimann

Ronel Lehmann

37 REDINGTON ROAD, HAMPSTEAD, LONDON NW3 7QY

BY E-MAIL AND FIRST CLASS MAIL

Ben Le Mare Esq
Development Control Planning Services
London Borough of Camden
Town Hall
Argyle Street
London WC1H 8ND

14th October 2011

Dear Mr Le Mare

Ref: 33 Redington Road, NW3 7QY - 2011/4546/P

In spite of withdrawing 2011/3315/P, the latest application 2011/4546/P wastes yet again more time of Development Control Planning Services, together with all the residents of 33 Redington Road and other neighbours who strongly object.

The revised plans do not taken into consideration any of the previous objections outlined in my letter dated 4th August and newr objections.

- 1. The reduction of the overall bulk and dimensions of the proposed balcony remains inappropriate to the relationship of the rear elevation.
- 2. The architectural plans submitted are more like sketches and not detailed enough which allows for construction and building errors.
- 3. There will be an increased loss of privacy which allows other neighbours to be further overlooked.
- 4. This is a classic case of over development of an existing balcony.
- 5. The pretext of a medical condition for this development garners no sympathy from neighbours, the community and should not be allowed to provide an excuse for planning development.
- This family is well know for hosting numerous parties late into the evening with complete disregard for the area and we have been forced to complain many times and lodge details with the Camden Noise service.
- 7. It is my further understanding from residents that Flat A cause internal nuisance to its neighbours frequently and although complaints have been made many times, they simply do not care about their behaviour.

8. This strengthens my resolve that anything which reduces this family's propensity to be able to dwell outside the property will be advantageous to the amenity value of the area.

I do appreciate that this is pretty damning objection by any standard and if, and when, this and other correspondence reaches the local media, the residents of Flat A might consider whether withdrawing this application and leaving Redington Road is in their best interests.

Yours sincerely

R. Whomann

Ronel Lehmann